



Chapter 12: Electrochemical Cell and Electrode Potentials

- At the end of this Chapter (12), the student is expected to be able to :
- 1- Understand the concepts of oxidation and reduction .
- 2- Distinguish between oxidizing and reducing agents in a redox reaction .
- 3- Understand the electrochemical Cells.
- 4- Write and Balance redox reaction equations .
- 5- Realize the role of standard potential in expecting the completeness and the direction of a redox reaction .
- 6- Understand and write the symbol for a galvanic cell reaction .
- 7- Calculate the electrode potential .
- 8- Understand the Nernst Equation and effects of concentrations on potentials.

12.1 What Are Redox Reaction

Redox reactions, or oxidation - reduction reactions, are a family of reactions that are concerned with the transfer of electrons between species. There is no oxidation reaction without a reduction reaction happening at the same time. Oxidation refers to the loss of electrons, while reduction refers to the gain of electrons. Each reaction by itself is called a "halfreaction", simply because we need two (2) halfreactions to form a whole redox reaction.

 $Ox_1 + Red_2 \leftrightarrow Red_2 + Ox_2$









Half- reaction potentials-they are measured relative to each other:

Standard electrode potential is given the symbol E° and it is an electrode potential measured under standard conditions; a room temperature (25°C), 1 atmosphere pressure and at 1 mole of the activity of redox participants of the half-reaction (i.e the Ox and the Red forms) Ox means the oxidized form and Red means the reduced form of a redox couple

The Standard electrode potential of a redox couple cannot be measured alone (because free electrons do not exist in solution) unless is coupled to another electrode usually a standard hydrogen electrode (NHE).







· ۸/ · ۱/٤ ·





• 1/ • 1/ 2 •

Table	12.1
Some	Standard Potentials

Half-Reaction	$E^0(V)$
$H_2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- = 2H_2O$	1.77
$MnO_4^- + 4H^+ + 3e^- \Rightarrow MnO_2 + 2H_2O$	1.695
$Ce^{4+} + e^{-} \Rightarrow Ce^{3+}$	1.61
$MnO_4^- + 8H^+ + 5e^- \Rightarrow Mn^{2+} + 4H_2O$	1.51
$Cr_2O_7^{2-} + 14H^+ + 6e^- = 2Cr^{3+} + 7H_2O$	1.33
$MnO_2 + 4H^+ + 2e^- \Longrightarrow Mn^{2+} + 2H_2O$	1.23
$2IO_3^- + 12H^+ + 10e^- = I_2 + 6H_2O$	1.20
$H_2O_2 + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons 2OH^-$	0.88
$Cu^2 + I^- + e^- \Longrightarrow CuI$	0.86
$Fe^{3+} + e^- \Longrightarrow Fe^{2+}$	0.771
$O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \Longrightarrow H_2O_2$	0.682
$I_2(aq) + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons 2I^-$	0.6197
$H_3AsO_4 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \Longrightarrow H_3AsO_3 + H_2O$	0.559
$I_3^- + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons 3I^-$	0.5355
$\mathrm{Sn}^{4+} + 2\mathrm{e}^{-} \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Sn}^{2+}$	0.154
$S_4O_6^{2-} + 2e^- \Rightarrow 2S_2O_3^{2-}$	0.08
$2H^+ + 2e^- \Longrightarrow H_2$	0.000
$Zn^{2+} + 2e^{-} \rightleftharpoons Zn$	-0.763
$2H_2O + 2e^- \Longrightarrow H_2 + 2OH^-$	-0.828



• 1/ • 1/ 2 •

Example 12.1

For the following substances, list the oxidizing agents in decreasing order of oxidizing capability, and the reducing agents in decreasing order of reducing capability: MnO₄⁻, Ce³⁺, Cr³⁺, IO₃⁻, Fe³⁺, I⁻, H⁺, Zn²⁺.

Solution

Looking at Table 12.1, the following must be oxidizing agents (are in the oxidized forms) and are listed from the most positive E^0 to the least positive: MnO_4^- , IO_3^- , Fe^{3+} , H^+ , Zn^{2+} . MnO_4^- is a very good oxidizing agent, Zn^{2+} is very poor. The remainder are in the reduced form, and their reducing power is in the order I^- , Cr^{3+} , and Ce^{3+} . I^- is a reasonably good reducing agent; Ce^{3+} is poor.



Which is the anode and cathode

drawing a cell

Instead of drawing a cell diagram chemists have devised a shorthand way of completely describing a cell called symbol or line notation. This notation scheme places the constituents of the cathode on the right of the salt bridge [which is shown in the notation as a double line (||)] and the anode components on the left.

The phases of all reactive species are listed and their concentrations or pressures are given if those species are not in their standard states. All phase interfaces are noted with a single line (|) and multiple species in a single phase are separated by commas. For example, the previous iron – zinc cell would be written as:



Example 12.2

From the potentials listed in Table 12.1, determine the reaction between the following half-reactions, and calculate the corresponding cell voltage:

$$Fe^{3+} + e^{-} \rightleftharpoons Fe^{2+} \quad E^{0} \rightleftharpoons 0.771 \text{ V}$$
$$I_{3}^{-} + 2e^{-} \rightleftharpoons 3I^{-} \quad E^{0} \rightleftharpoons 0.5355 \text{ V}$$

Solution

Since the Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ potential is the more positive, Fe³⁺ is a better oxidizing agent than I_3^- . Hence, Fe³⁺ will oxidize I⁻ and $E_{cell}^0 = E_{cathode} - E_{anode} = E_{Fe^{3+},Fe^{2+}}^0 - E_{I_3^-,I^-}^0$. In the same fashion, the second half-reaction must be subtracted from the first (multiplied by 2) to give the overall cell reaction:

 $2Fe^{3+} + 3I^- = 2Fe^{2+} + I_3^ E^0_{cell} = 0.771 \text{ V} - 0.536 \text{ V} = +0.235 \text{ V}$

Note again that multiplying a half-reaction by any number does not change its potential.



• 1/ • 1/ 2 •





Example 12.3

A solution is $10^{-3} M$ in $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ and $10^{-2} M$ in Cr^{3+} . If the pH is 2.0, what is the potential of the half-reaction at 298K?

Solution

$$Cr_{2}O_{7}^{2-} + 14H^{+} + 6e^{-} \Rightarrow 2Cr^{3+} + 7H_{2}O$$

$$E = E_{Cr_{2}O_{7}^{2-},Cr^{3+}}^{0} - \frac{0.05916}{6}\log\frac{[Cr^{3+}]^{2}}{[Cr_{2}O_{7}^{2-}][H^{+}]^{14}}$$

$$= 1.33 - \frac{0.05916}{6}\log\frac{(10^{-2})^{2}}{(10^{-3})(10^{-2})^{14}}$$

$$= 1.33 - \frac{0.05916}{6}\log 10^{27} = 1.33 - 27\left(\frac{0.05916}{6}\right)$$

$$= 1.06 \text{ V}$$





A 5.0 mL portion of $0.10 M \text{ Ce}^{4+}$ solution is added to 5.0 mL of $0.30 M \text{ Fe}^{2+}$ solution. Calculate the potential at 298K of a platinum electrode dipping in the solution (relative to the NHE).

Solution

We start with 0.30 mmol mL⁻¹ × 5.0 mL = 1.5 mmol Fe²⁺ and add 0.10 mmol mL⁻¹ × 5.0 mL = 0.50 mmol Ce⁴⁺. So we form 0.50 mmol each of Fe³⁺ and Ce³⁺ and have 1.0 mmol Fe²⁺ remaining. The reaction lies far to the right at equilibrium if there is at least 0.2 V difference between the standard electrode potentials of two

half-reactions. But a small amount of Ce^{4+} (= x) will exist at equilibrium, and an equal amount of Fe^{2+} will be formed:

 $Fe^{2+} + Ce^{4+} \rightleftharpoons Fe^{3+} + Ce^{3+}$ 1.0 + x x 0.50 - x 0.50 - x

where the numbers and *x* represent millimoles. To calculate the concentration of each species, the amounts in millimoles will need to be divided by the total volume of 10 mL, but since this same divisor appears in both the numerator and denominator, it cancels out when calculating concentration ratios. At equilibrium, the potential of the Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} half-reaction must be the same as that of the Ce^{4+}/Ce^{3+} half-reaction:

$$0.771 - 0.059 \log \frac{(1.0 + x)}{(0.50 - x)} = 1.61 - 0.059 \log \frac{(0.50 - x)}{x}$$
$$1.61 - 0.771 = 0.839 = 0.059 \log \frac{(0.50 - x)^2}{x(0.10 + x)}$$
$$\frac{0.839}{0.059} = 14.22 = \log \frac{(0.50 - x)^2}{x(0.10 + x)}$$

A solution to this quadratic equation that is also readily solved by Goal Seek will result in $x = 1.51 \times 10^{-15} M$. Putting this value of x in either half reaction will produce E = 0.753 V.

We could do this, however, a lot simpler. Consider that this reaction is analogous to "ionization" of the product in precipitation or acid–base reactions written as association reactions; a slight shift of the equilibrium here to the left would be the "ionization." The quantity x is very small compared with 0.50 or 1.0 and can be neglected. Either half-reaction can be used to calculate the potential. Since the concentrations of both species in the Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ couple are known, we will use this:

$$Fe^{3+} + e^{-} \rightleftharpoons Fe^{2+}$$
0.50 1.0

$$E = 0.771 - 0.05916 \log \frac{[Fe^{2+}]}{[Fe^{3+}]}$$

$$E = 0.771 - 0.05916 \log \frac{1.0 \text{ mmol}/10 \text{ mL}}{0.50 \text{ mmol}/10 \text{ mL}} = 0.771 - 0.05916 \log 2.0$$

$$= 0.771 - 0.05916(0.30)$$

$$= 0.753 \text{ V}$$

Note that this approach can only succeed where the standard potentials of the two half-reaction are sufficiently far apart such that the addition of Ce^{4+} will result in essentially quantitative conversion of a corresponding amount of Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+} (assuming sufficient Fe^{2+} was present).

• 1/• 1/2 •

CELL VOLTAGE——BEFORE REACTIONOne of a cell can be calculated by taking the difference in potentials of the two half-reactions, to give a positive potential, calculated using the Nernst equation,
$$Ecell = Ec - Ea$$

In Example 12.2 for
$$2\text{Fe}^{3+} + 3\text{I}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{I}_3^-$$
 at 298K,

$$E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{Fe}^{3+},\text{Fe}^{2+}} - E_{\text{I}_{3}^{-},\text{I}^{-}}$$

$$= \left(E_{\text{Fe}^{3+},\text{Fe}^{2+}}^{0} - \frac{0.05916}{2}\log\left[\frac{[\text{Fe}^{2+}]^{2}}{[\text{Fe}^{3+}]^{2}}\right) - \left(E_{\text{I}_{3}^{-},\text{I}^{-}}^{0} - \frac{0.05916}{2}\log\left[\frac{[\text{I}^{-}]^{3}}{[\text{I}_{3}^{-}]}\right)\right)$$

$$= E_{\text{Fe}^{3+},\text{Fe}^{2+}}^{0} - E_{\text{I}_{3}^{-},\text{I}^{-}}^{0} - \frac{0.05916}{2}\log\frac{[\text{Fe}^{2+}]^{2}[\text{I}_{3}^{-}]}{[\text{Fe}^{3+}]^{2}[\text{I}^{-}]^{3}}$$
(12.24)



The term on the right of the log sign is the equilibrium constant expression for the reaction:



One beaker contains a solution of $0.0200 M \text{ KMnO}_4$, $0.00500 M \text{ MnSO}_4$, and $0.5 M \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$; and a second beaker contains $0.150 M \text{ FeSO}_4$ and $0.003 M \text{ Fe}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$. The two beakers are connected by a salt bridge, and platinum electrodes are placed in each. The electrodes are connected via a wire with a voltmeter in between. What would be the potential of each half-cell (a) before reaction and (b) after reaction? What would be the measured cell voltage (c) at the start of the reaction and (d) after the reaction reaches equilibrium? Assume H₂SO₄ to be completely ionized and in equal volumes in each beaker.

Solution

The cell reaction is

$$5Fe^{2+} + MnO_4^- + 8H^+ \Rightarrow 5Fe^{3+} + Mn^{2+} + 4H_2O$$

and the cell is

 Pt/Fe^{2+} (0.150 *M*), Fe^{3+} (0.00300 *M*)//MnO₄⁻(0.0200 *M*),

Mn²⁺(0.00500 M), H⁺(1.00 M)/Pt

(a)

$$E_{\text{Fe}} = E_{\text{Fe}^{3+},\text{Fe}^{2+}}^{0} - 0.05916 \log \frac{[\text{Fe}^{2+}]}{[\text{Fe}^{3+}]}$$

= 0.771 - 0.05916 log $\frac{0.150}{0.00300}$ = 0.671 V
$$E_{\text{Mn}} = E_{\text{MnO}_4-\text{Mn}^{2+}}^{0} - \frac{0.05916}{5} \log \frac{[\text{Mn}^{2+}]}{[\text{MnO}_4-][\text{H}^+]^8}$$

= 1.51 - $\frac{0.05916}{5} \log \frac{0.00500}{(0.0200)(1.00)^8}$ = 1.52 V

(b) At equilibrium, $E_{\rm Fe} = E_{\rm Mn}$. We can calculate *E* from either half-reaction. First, calculate the equilibrium concentrations. Five moles of Fe²⁺ will react with each mole of MnO₄⁻. The Fe²⁺ is in excess. It will be decreased by $5 \times 0.0200 = 0.100 M$, so 0.050 M Fe²⁺ remains and 0.100 M Fe³⁺ is formed (total now is 0.100 + 0.003 = 0.103 M). Virtually all the MnO₄⁻ is converted to Mn²⁺ (0.0200 M) to give a total of 0.0250 M. A small unknown amount of MnO₄⁻ remains at equilibrium, and we would need the equilibrium constant to calculate it; this can be obtained from $E_{\rm cell} = 0$ at equilibrium—as in Equation 12.24—and as carried out in Example 12.4, this is treated in more detail in Chapter 14. But we need not go to this trouble since [Fe²⁺] and [Fe³⁺] are known:

$$E_{\rm Mn} = E_{\rm Fe} = 0.771 - 0.05916 \log \frac{0.050}{0.103} = 0.790 \text{ V}$$

Note that the half-cell potentials at equilibrium are in between the values for the two half-cells before reaction.

- (c) $E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{Mn}} E_{\text{Fe}} = 1.52 0.671 = 0.85 \text{ V}$
- (d) At equilibrium, $E_{Mn} = E_{Fe}$, and so E_{cell} is zero volts.

Note that if one of the species had not been initially present in a half-reaction, we could not have calculated an initial potential for that half-reaction.

• 1/ • 1/ 5 •

12.4 Formal Potential—Use It for Defined Nonstandard Solution Conditions

- 1- The E^o values listed in Table 12.1 refer to standard conditions, all species are at an activity of 1 M.
- 2- The potential of a half-reaction may depend on the conditions of the solution.

example, the E^{o} value for $Ce^{4+} + e^{-} = Ce^{3+}$ is 1.61 V.

- 3- we can change this potential by changing the acid used to acidify the solution. (See Table C.5 in Appendix C.)
- 4- The change in potential happens because the anions of the different acids differ in their ability to form complexes with one form of the cerium relative to the other, and the concentration ratio of the two forms of the free cerium ion is thereby affected.
- 5- If we know the form of the complex, we could write a new half-reaction involving the acid anion and determine an E^o value for this reaction, keeping the acid and all other species at unit activity.

12.4 Formal Potential—Use It for Defined Nonstandard Solution Conditions

5- the complexes are frequently of unknown composition. So we define the formal potential and designate this as E^{o'}.

- 6- This is the standard potential of a redox couple with the oxidized and reduced forms at 1 M concentrations and with the solution conditions specified.
- example, the formal potential of the Ce^{4+}/Ce^{3+} couple in 1 M HCl is 1.28 V.
- The formal potential is used when not all species are known. In a sense, the formal potential provides the same convenience as available with the use of conditional complexation constants or solubility products.

• 1/• 1/2 •





DEPENDENCE OF POTENTIAL ON COMPLEXATION

In HCl (1M),the formal potential ($E^{o'}$) is 0.70 V. If we assume that the complex is $FeCl_4^{-}$, then the half-reaction would be:

$$FeCl_4^- + e^- = Fe^{2+} + 4Cl^-$$

(assume that [HCl] is constant at 1 M),

$$E = E^{\circ} - \frac{0.059}{n} \log \frac{[Fe^{2+}]}{[FeCl_4^-]}$$

In effect, we have stabilized the $\rm Fe^{3+}$ by complexing it, making it more difficult to reduce.

So the reduction potential is decreased. If we complexed the Fe²⁺, the reverse effect would be observed. So the presence of complexing agents that have different

Complexing one ion reduces its effective concentration, which changes the potential.

