## CHAPTER 3

BLOCK CIPHERS
AND
THE DATA ENCRYPTION STANDARD

## MODERN BLOCK CIPHERS

The most widely used symmetric cipher : Data Encryption Standard (DES)
DES remains the most important such algorithm although it is replaced by Advanced Encryption Standard (AES).

- This chapter begins with :
- Discussion of the general principles of symmetric block ciphers.
- Next, we cover full DES.

A general discussion of block cipher design.

## BLOCK VS. STREAM CIPHERS

- Block ciphers process messages in blocks or word (number of bits) at a time, then encrypted or decrypted
- Stream ciphers process messages in a bit or byte at a time, then encrypted or decrypted
- many current ciphers are block ciphers
$\checkmark$ better analyzed
broader range of applications


## BLOCK VS. STREAM CIPHERS



Block Cipher
A block cipher is one in which a block of plaintext is treated as a whole and used to produce a ciphertext block of equal length.
Typically, a block size of 64 or 128 bits is used.

## BLOCK VS. STREAM CIPHERS



Stream Cipher Using Algorithmic Bit Stream Generator

- A stream cipher, the two users share a symmetric encryption key.
- Encrypts a digital data stream one bit or one byte at a time. In which the keystream ( $k$ ) is as long as the plaintext bit stream ( $p$ ).
- Ex. , One-time pad version of the Vernam cipher would be used.


## BLOCK CIPHER PRINCIPLES

- Most symmetric block encryption algorithms are based on a structure referred to as a Feistel block cipher.
- The execution of two or more ciphers in sequence, the final result is cryptographically stronger than any of the component ciphers.
- A block cipher operates on a plaintext block of $n$ bits to produce a ciphertext block of $n$ bits.
Block ciphers look like an extremely large substitution.
- For $n$-bit general substitution block cipher, the size of the key is $n \times 2^{n}$.
For a 64-bit block, the key size is $64 \times 2^{64}=2^{70}=10^{21}$ bits.


## IDEAL BLOCK CIPHER



| Plaintext | Ciphertext | Ciphertext | Plaintext |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0000 | 1110 | 0000 | 1110 |
| 0001 | 0100 | 0001 | 0011 |
| 0010 | 1101 | 0010 | 0100 |
| 0011 | 0001 | 0011 | 1000 |
| 0100 | 0010 | 0100 | 0001 |
| 0101 | 1111 | 0101 | 1100 |
| 0110 | 1011 | 0110 | 1010 |
| 0111 | 1000 | 0111 | 1111 |
| 1000 | 0011 | 1000 | 0111 |
| 1001 | 1010 | 1001 | 1101 |
| 1010 | 0110 | 1010 | 1001 |
| 1011 | 1100 | 1011 | 0110 |
| 1100 | 0101 | 1100 | 1011 |
| 1101 | 1001 | 1101 | 0010 |
| 1110 | 0000 | 1110 | 0000 |
| 1111 | 0111 | 1111 | 0101 |

## Key Length

Key length $16 \times 4$ bits $=64$ bits
key length is $2^{n} \times n$
Actual block size is at least 64 bits
Key length will be $2^{64} \times 64 \approx 10^{21}$ bits
Ability to map any plaintext to any ciphertext is the ideal block cipher

## CLAUDE SHANNON AND SUBSTITUTION-PERMUTATION CIPHERS

- Claude Shannon introduced idea of substitution-permutation (S-P) networks from basis of modern block ciphers
- S-P nets are based on two primitive cryptographic operations:
- substitution (S-box):

Each plaintext element or group of elements is uniquely replaced by a corresponding ciphertext element or group of elements.

- permutation (P-box) :

A sequence of plaintext elements is replaced by a permutation of that sequence. That is, no elements are added or deleted or replaced in the sequence, rather the order in which the elements appear in the sequence is changed.
Provide confusion \& diffusion of message \& key

## CONFUSION AND DIFFUSION

More practically Shannon suggested combining S\&P elements to obtain:
Diffusion: makes relationship between plaintext and ciphertext as complex as possible to thwart attempts to deduce the key. Message $M=m 1, m 2, m 3, \ldots$

$$
y_{n}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{n+i}\right) \bmod 26
$$

Ciphertext letter $y_{n}$ results from adding $k$ successive plaintext letters (avalanche effect) Confusion: makes relationship between ciphertext and key as complex as possible to thwart attempts to discover the key

## FEISTEL CIPHER STRUCTURE

It partitions input block into two halves which are processed through multiple rounds which perform a substitution on left data half, based on round function of right half \& subkey, and then have permutation swapping halves.
Implements Shannon's S-P net concept

## FEISTEL CIPHER STRUCTURE

* Input
+ plaintext block of length 2 w
+ key K
* Plaintext block divided to LO, RO

Pass thru n rounds of processing
Each round i has

+ Li-1, Ri-1 derived from previous round
+ subkey Ki derived from overall K


## FEISTEL CIPHER STRUCTURE



Substitution performed to left half
$\square$ apply round function F to right half
$\square$ take XOR of output with left half
$\square \mathrm{F}$ is parameterized by round subkey Ki
Permutation of left and right halves
$\square$ interchange left and right halves

## FEISTEL CIPHER STRUCTURE

- Output of ith encryption round $\rightarrow$ input to $(16-i)^{\text {th }}$ decryption round swapped
- $L E_{i}| | R E_{i} \equiv R D_{16-i} \mid L D_{16-i}$




## Decryption Proof

- Encryption side

$$
\square \mathrm{LE}_{16}=\mathrm{RE}_{15}
$$

$$
\square R E_{16}=L E_{15} \oplus F\left(R E_{15}, K_{16}\right)
$$

- Decryption side

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Longleftrightarrow & \square{L D_{1}}=R D_{0}=L E_{16}=R E_{15} \\
& \square L D_{0}=R E_{16} \\
& \square R D_{1}=L D_{0} \oplus F\left(R D_{0}, K_{16}\right) \\
& =R E_{16} \oplus F\left(R E_{15}, \mathrm{~K}_{16}\right) \\
& =\left[\mathrm{LE}_{15} \oplus \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{RE}_{15}, \mathrm{~K}_{16}\right)\right] \oplus \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{RE}_{15}, \mathrm{~K}_{16}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Longleftrightarrow \square R D_{1} & =\left[L E_{15} \oplus F\left(R E_{15}, K_{16}\right)\right] \oplus F\left(R E_{15}, K_{16}\right) \\
& =L E_{15}
\end{aligned}
$$

The same algorithm with a reversed key order produces the correct result, noting that at every round, the intermediate value of the decryption process is equal to the corresponding value of the encryption process with the two halves of the value swapped.

## FEISTEL CIPHER DESIGN ELEMENTS

## Parameters and Design Features:

Block size: increasing size improves security, but slows cipher - typical: 64 bit, 128 bit AES
Key size: increasing size improves security, but slows cipher - typical: 128 bit
Number of rounds - increasing number improves security, but slows cipher - typical: 16
Sub-key generation algorithm- greater complexity make cryptanalysis harder, but slows cipher
Round function - greater complexity make cryptanalysis harder, but slows cipher
$\square$ Fast software en/decryption - more recent concern for practical use
Ease of analysis - for easier validation \& testing of strength

## DATA ENCRYPTION STANDARD (DES)

* The most widely used private key block cipher
* 64-bit plaintext block and 56-bit key
* Exact structure as Feistel except
+ initial permutation of plaintext
+ final permutation of last round's output


## DES ENCRYPTION OVERVIEW



## DES ENCRYPTION SCHEME

The overall scheme for DES encryption takes as input 64-bits of data and of key.

- The left side shows the basic process for enciphering a 64-bit data block :
- an initial permutation (IP) which shuffles the 64-bit input block
- 16 rounds of a complex key dependent round function involving substitutions \& permutations
- a final permutation, being the inverse of IP

The right side shows the handling of the 56-bit key :

- an initial permutation of the key (PC1) which selects 56-bits out of the 64-bits input, in two 28bit halves
- 16 stages to generate the 48 -bit sub keys using a left circular shift and a permutation of the two 28-bit halves.

|  | $\mathrm{M}_{58} \mathrm{M}_{50} \mathrm{M}_{42} \mathrm{M}_{34} \mathrm{M}_{26} \mathrm{M}_{18} \mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $M_{9} M_{10} M_{11} M_{12} M_{13} M_{14} M_{15} M_{16}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{60} \mathrm{M}_{52} \mathrm{M}_{44} \mathrm{M}_{36} \mathrm{M}_{28} \mathrm{M}_{20} \mathrm{M}_{12} \mathrm{M}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{17} \mathrm{M}_{18} \mathrm{M}_{19} \mathrm{M}_{20} \mathrm{M}_{21} \mathrm{M}_{22} \mathrm{M}_{23} \mathrm{M}_{24}$ | $M_{62} M_{54} M_{46} M_{38} M_{30} M_{22} M_{14} M_{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{25} \mathrm{M}_{26} \mathrm{M}_{27} \mathrm{M}_{28} \mathrm{M}_{29} \mathrm{M}_{30} \mathrm{M}_{31} \mathrm{M}_{32}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{64} \mathrm{M}_{56} \mathrm{M}_{48} \mathrm{M}_{40} \mathrm{M}_{32} \mathrm{M}_{24} \mathrm{M}_{16} \mathrm{M}_{8}$ |
| $M_{33} M_{34} M_{35} M_{36} M_{37} M_{38} M_{39} M_{40}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{57} \mathrm{M}_{49} \mathrm{M}_{41} \mathrm{M}_{33} \mathrm{M}_{25} \mathrm{M}_{17} \mathrm{M}_{9} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ |
|  | $M_{59} \mathrm{M}_{51} \mathrm{M}_{43} \mathrm{M}_{35} \mathrm{M}_{27} \mathrm{M}_{19} \mathrm{M}_{11} \mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| $M_{49} M_{50} M_{51} M_{52} M_{53} M_{54} M_{55} M_{56}$ | $M_{61} M_{53} M_{45} M_{37} M_{29} M_{21} M_{13} M_{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{57} \mathrm{M}_{58} \mathrm{M}_{59} \mathrm{M}_{60} \mathrm{M}_{61} \mathrm{M}_{62} \mathrm{M}_{63} \mathrm{M}_{64}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{63} \mathrm{M}_{55} \mathrm{M}_{47} \mathrm{M}_{39} \mathrm{M}_{31} \mathrm{M}_{23} \mathrm{M}_{15} \mathrm{M}_{7}$ |

Inverse Initial Permutation (IP-1)
(a) Initial Permatation (IP)

| 58 | 50 | 42 | 34 | 26 | 18 | 10 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 60 | 52 | 44 | 36 | 28 | 20 | 12 | 4 |
| 62 | 54 | 46 | 38 | 30 | 22 | 14 | 6 |
| 64 | 56 | 48 | 40 | 32 | 24 | 16 | 8 |
| 57 | 49 | 41 | 33 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 1 |
| 59 | 51 | 43 | 35 | 27 | 19 | 11 | 3 |
| 61 | 53 | 45 | 37 | 29 | 21 | 13 | 5 |
| 63 | 55 | 47 | 39 | 31 | 23 | 15 | 7 |

(b) Inverse Initial Permutation (IP ${ }^{-1}$ )

| 40 | 8 | 48 | 16 | 56 | 24 | 64 | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 39 | 7 | 47 | 15 | 55 | 23 | 63 | 31 |
| 38 | 6 | 46 | 14 | 54 | 22 | 62 | 30 |
| 37 | 5 | 45 | 13 | 53 | 21 | 61 | 29 |
| 36 | 4 | 44 | 12 | 52 | 20 | 60 | 28 |
| 35 | 3 | 43 | 11 | 51 | 19 | 59 | 27 |
| 34 | 2 | 42 | 10 | 50 | 18 | 58 | 26 |
| 33 | 1 | 41 | 9 | 49 | 17 | 57 | 25 |

* The input to a table consists of 64 bits numbered left to right from 1 to 64. The 64 entries in the permutation table contain a permutation of the numbers from 1 to 64 . Each entry in the permutation table indicates the position of a numbered input bit in the output, which also consists of 64 bits.
* Note that the bit numbering for DES reflects IBM mainframe practice, and is the opposite of what we now mostly use - so be careful! Numbers from Bit 1 (leftmost, most significant) to bit 32/48/64 etc (rightmost, least significant).
* For example, a 64-bit plaintext value of "675a6967 5e5a6b5a" (written in left \& right halves) after permuting with IP becomes "ffb2194d 004df6fb". Note that example values are specified using hexadecimal.


## INITIAL PERMUTATION IP

- IP reorders the input data bits
- even bits to LH half, odd bits to RH half
quite regular in structure (easy in $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{w}$ )
example:
$\operatorname{IP}(675 a 69675 e 5 a 6 b 5 a)=(f f b 2194 d 004 d f 6 f b)$
* Uses two 32-bit L \& R halves
$L_{i}=R_{i-1}$
$R_{i}=L_{i-1} \oplus \mathrm{~F}\left(R_{i-1}, K_{i}\right)$
$\times$ F takes 32-bit R half and 48-bit subkey:
+ expands $R$ to 48-bits using perm E
+ adds to subkey using XOR
+ passes through 8 S-boxes to get 32-bit result
+ finally permutes using 32-bit perm $P$



## DES SINGLE ROUND ALGORITHM

The round key $K_{i}$ is 48 bits.
The R input is 32 bits. The internal structure of the DES round function F. The $R$ input is first expanded to 48 bits by using expansion table $E$ that defines a permutation plus an expansion that involves duplication of 16 of the $R$ bits.
(c) Expansion Permutation (E)

| 32 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 1 |

The resulting 48 bits are XORed with key $K_{i}$. This 48-bit result passes through a substitution function comprising 8 S-boxes which each map 6 input bits to 4 output bits, producing a 32 -bit output, which is then permuted by permutation $P$.
(d) Permutation Function (P)

| 16 | 7 | 20 | 21 | 29 | 12 | 28 | 17 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 15 | 23 | 26 | 5 | 18 | 31 | 10 |
| 2 | 8 | 24 | 14 | 32 | 27 | 3 | 9 |
| 19 | 13 | 30 | 6 | 22 | 11 | 4 | 25 |

s-boxes provide the "confusion" of data and key values, so each S-box output affects as many S-box inputs in the next round as possible, giving "diffusion".

## SUBSTITUTION BOXES S

- Eight S-boxes which map 6 input to 4 bits out
- each S-box is actually 4 little 4 bit boxes
- outer bits $1 \& 6$ (row bits) select one row of 4
- inner bits 2-5 (col bits) are substituted
- result is 8 lots of 4 bits, or 32 bits
r row selection depends on both data \& key
- feature known as autoclaving (autokeying) example:
S (18 0912 3d 11173839$)=5 f d 25 e 03$


## DES ROUND STRUCTURE



## DISCUSSION: TABLE 3.3

* The substitution consists of a set of eight S-boxes, each of which accepts 6 bits as input and produces 4 bits as output.
- Table 3.3:
+ The first and last bits of the input to box Si form a 2-bit binary number to select one of four substitutions defined by the four rows in the table for Si .
+ The middle four bits select one of the sixteen columns. The decimal value in the cell selected by the row and column is then converted to its 4 -bit representation to produce the output. For example, in S1, for input 011001, the row is 01 (row 1) and the column is 1100 (column 12). The value in row 1 , column 12 is 9 , so the output is 1001 .
* The example lists 86 -bit values (ie 18 in hex is 011000 in binary, 09 hex is 001001 binary, 12 hex is 010010 binary, 3d hex is 111101 binary etc), each of which is replaced following the process detailed above using the appropriate S-box. ie
+ S1(011000) lookup row 00 col 1100 in S1 to get 5
+ S2(001001) lookup row 01 col 0100 in S2 to get $15=f$ in hex
+ S3(010010) lookup row 00 col 1001 in S3 to get $13=d$ in hex S4(111101) lookup row 11 col 1110 in S4 to get 2 etc


## DES KEY SCHEDULE

- forms subkeys used in each round
- Initial permutation of the key (PC1) which selects 56 -bits in two 28 -bit halves
- 16 stages consisting of:
$\times$ rotating each half separately either 1 or 2 places depending on the key rotation schedule K
$\times$ selecting 24 -bits from each half \& permuting them by PC2 for use in round function F
- note practical use issues in $h / w$ vs $s / w$


## DISCUSSION

* The DES Key Schedule generates the subkeys needed for each data encryption round. A 64-bit key is used as input to the algorithm, though every eighth bit is ignored, as indicated by the lack of shading in Table 3.4a. It is first processed by Permuted Choice One (Stallings Table 3.4b). The resulting 56-bit key is then treated as two 28-bit quantities C \& D. In each round, these are separately processed through a circular left shift (rotation) of 1 or 2 bits as shown in Stallings Table 3.4d. These shifted values serve as input to the next round of the key schedule. They also serve as input to Permuted Choice Two (Stallings Table 3.4c), which produces a 48-bit output that serves as input to the round function $F$.
* The 56 bit key size comes from security considerations as we know now. It was big enough so that an exhaustive key search was about as hard as the best direct attack (a form of differential cryptanalysis called a T-attack, known by the IBM \& NSA researchers), but no bigger. The extra 8 bits were then used as parity (error detecting) bits, which makes sense given the original design use for hardware communications links. However we hit an incompatibility with simple s/w implementations since the top bit in each byte is 0 (since ASCII only uses 7 bits), but the DES key schedule throws away the bottom bit! A good implementation needs to be cleverer!


## DES DECRYPTION

x decrypt must unwind steps of data computation

* with Feistel design, do encryption steps again using subkeys in reverse order (SK16 ... SK1)
+ IP undoes final FP step of encryption
+1 1st round with SK16 undoes 16th encrypt round
+ 16th round with SK1 undoes 1st encrypt round
+ then final FP undoes initial encryption IP
+ thus recovering original data value


## DES EXAMPLE

| Round | $K_{i}$ | $L_{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IP |  | 5 a 005 a 00 | $3 \mathrm{cf03c} 0$ f |
| 1 | $1 \mathrm{e} 030 £ 03080 \mathrm{~d} 2930$ | $3 \mathrm{cf03c} 0 \mathrm{f}$ | bad22845 |
| 2 | 0a31293432242318 | bad22845 | $99 \mathrm{e9b} 723$ |
| 3 | 23072318201d0c1d | 99e9b723 | 0bae3b9e |
| 4 | 05261d3824311a20 | Obae3b9e | 42415649 |
| 5 | 3325340136002 c 25 | 42415649 | 18b3fa41 |
| 6 | 123a2d0d04262a1c | 18b3fa41 | 9616 fe 23 |
| 7 | $021 \mathrm{f120b1c130611}$ | 9616 fe 23 | 67117 cf 2 |
| 8 | 1c10372a2832002b | 67117cf2 | c11bfc09 |
| 9 | 04292a380c341f03 | c11bfc09 | 887 fbc 6 c |
| 10 | 2703212607280403 | 887 fbc 6 c | $600 £ 7 \mathrm{e} 8 \mathrm{~b}$ |
| 11 | 2826390 c 31261504 | $600 £ 7 \mathrm{e} 8 \mathrm{~b}$ | f596506e |
| 12 | 12071c241a0a0f08 | f596506e | 738538 b 8 |
| 13 | 300935393 c 0 d 100 b | 738538 b 8 | c6a62c4e |
| 14 | 311e09231321182a | c6a62c4e | 56b0bd75 |
| 15 | 283d3e0227072528 | 56b0bd75 | 75e8fd8f |
| 16 | 2921080 b 13143025 | 75e8fd8f | 25896490 |
| $\mathrm{IP}^{-1}$ |  | da02ce3a | 89ecac3b |

## AVALANCHE IN DES

| Round |  | 8 | Round |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 02468 \text { асееса } 86420 \\ & 12468 \text { aceeca } 86420 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 9 | c11bfc09887fbc6c 99f911532eed7d94 | 32 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{cf} 03 \mathrm{c} 0 \mathrm{fb} \text { bad } 22845 \\ & 3 \mathrm{cf} 03 \mathrm{c} 0 \mathrm{fb} \text { bad } 32845 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 10 | $887 \mathrm{fbc} 6 \mathrm{c} 600 \mathrm{f7e} 8 \mathrm{~b}$ 2eed7d94d0f23094 | 34 |
| 2 | bad2284599e9b723 bad3284539a9b7a3 | 5 | 11 | 600f7e8bf596506e d0f23094455da9c4 | 37 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 99 e 9 b 7230 b a e 3 b 9 e \\ & 39 a 9 b 7 a 3171 c b 8 b 3 \end{aligned}$ | 18 | 12 | f596506e738538b8 455da9c47f6e3cf3 | 31 |
| 4 | Obae3b9e42415649 <br> 171 cb 8 b 3 ccaca 55 e | 34 | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 738538 b 8 c 6 a 62 c 4 e \\ & 7 f 6 e 3 c f 34 b c 1 a 8 d 9 \end{aligned}$ | 29 |
| 5 | $4241564918 b 3 f a 41$ ccaca55ed16c3653 | 37 | 14 | c6a62c4e56b0bd75 4bc1a8d91e07d409 | 33 |
| 6 | 18b3fa419616fe23 d16c3653cf402c68 | 33 | 15 | 56b0bd7575e8fd8f 1 e 07 d 4091 ce 2 e 6 dc | 31 |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 9616 \mathrm{fe} 2367117 \mathrm{cf} 2 \\ & \mathrm{cf} 402 \mathrm{c} 682 \mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{cefbc} \end{aligned}$ | 32 | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \mathrm{e} 8 \mathrm{fd} 8 \mathrm{f} 25896490 \\ & 1 \mathrm{ce} 2 \mathrm{e} 6 \mathrm{dc} 365 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{f} 59 \end{aligned}$ | 32 |
| 8 | 67117cf2c11bfc09 <br> 2b2cefbc99f91153 | 33 | $\mathrm{IP}^{-1}$ | da02ce3a89ecac3b <br> 057cde97d7683f2a | 32 |

## AVALANCHE EFFECT

* key desirable property of encryption alg
* where a change of one input or key bit results in changing approx half output bits
* making attempts to "home-in" by guessing keys impossible
* DES exhibits strong avalanche


## STRENGTH OF DES - KEY SIZE

$\times 56$-bit keys have $2^{56}=7.2 \times 10^{16}$ values
$\times$ brute force search looks hard
x recent advances have shown is possible + in 1997 on Internet in a few months

+ in 1998 on dedicated $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{w}$ (EFF) in a few days
+ in 1999 above combined in 22hrs!
still must be able to recognize plaintext
× must now consider alternatives to DES

