Solution: The bitwise OR, bitwise AND, and bitwise XOR of these strings are obtained by taking the OR, AND, and XOR of the corresponding bits, respectively. This gives us

01 1011 0110 11 0001 1101 11 1011 1111 bitwise OR 01 0001 0100 bitwise AND 10 1010 1011 bitwise XOR

## **Exercises**

- . Which of these sentences are propositions? What are the truth values of those that are propositions?
  - a) Boston is the capital of Massachusetts.
  - b) Miami is the capital of Florida.
  - c) 2+3=5.
- **d)** 5 + 7 = 10.
- e) x + 2 = 11.
- f) Answer this question.
- 2. Which of these are propositions? What are the truth values of those that are propositions?
  - a) Do not pass go.
  - b) What time is it?
  - c) There are no black flies in Maine.
  - d) 4 + x = 5.
  - e) The moon is made of green cheese.
  - f)  $2^n > 100$ .
- 3. What is the negation of each of these propositions?
- a) Today is Thursday.

- b) There is no pollution in New Jersey.
- (c) 2+1=3.
  - d) The summer in Maine is hot and sunny.
- 4. Let p and q be the propositions
  - p: I bought a lottery ticket this week.
  - q: I won the million dollar jackpot on Friday.

Express each of these propositions as an English sentence.

- a)  $\neg p$
- c)  $p \rightarrow q$
- d)  $p \wedge q$

- g)  $\neg p \land \neg q$
- e)  $p \leftrightarrow q$  f)  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ h)  $\neg p \lor (p \land q)$
- 5. Let p and q be the propositions "Swimming at the New Jersey shore is allowed" and "Sharks have been spotted near the shore," respectively. Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

- a)  $\neg q$  b)  $p \wedge q$  c)  $\neg p \vee q$  d)  $p \rightarrow \neg q$  e)  $\neg q \rightarrow p$  f)  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$  g)  $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$  h)  $\neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q)$





JOHN WILDER TUKEY (1915-2000) Tukey, born in New Bedford, Massachusetts, was an only child. His parents, both teachers, decided home schooling would best develop his potential. His formal education began at Brown University, where he studied mathematics and chemistry. He received a master's degree in chemistry from Brown and continued his studies at Princeton University, changing his field of study from chemistry to mathematics. He received his Ph.D. from Princeton in 1939 for work in topology, when he was appointed an instructor in mathematics at Princeton. With the start of World War II, he joined the Fire Control Research Office, where he began working in statistics. Tukey found statistical research to his liking and impressed several leading statisticians with his skills. In 1945, at the conclusion of the war, Tukey returned to the mathematics department at Princeton as a professor of statistics, and he also took a position at AT&T Bell Laboratories. Tukey founded

the Statistics Department at Princeton in 1966 and was its first chairman. Tukey made significant contributions to many areas of statistics, including the analysis of variance, the estimation of spectra of time series, inferences about the values of a set of parameters from a single experiment, and the philosophy of statistics. However, he is best known for his invention, with J. W. Cooley, of the fast Fourier transform. In addition to his contributions to statistics, Tukey was noted as a skilled wordsmith; he is credited with coining the terms bit and software.

Tukey contributed his insight and expertise by serving on the President's Science Advisory Committee. He chaired several important committees dealing with the environment, education, and chemicals and health. He also served on committees working on nuclear disarmament. Tukey received many awards, including the National Medal of Science.

HISTORICAL NOTE There were several other suggested words for a binary digit, including binit and bigit, that never were widely accepted. The adoption of the word bit may be due to its meaning as a common English word. For an account of Tukey's coining of the word bit, see the April 1984 issue of Annals of the History of Computing.

- a)  $p \rightarrow \neg p$
- **b)**  $(p \vee \neg r) \wedge (q \vee \neg s)$
- c)  $q \lor p \lor \neg s \lor \neg r \lor \neg t \lor u$
- **d)**  $(p \wedge r \wedge t) \leftrightarrow (q \wedge t)$
- 26. How many rows appear in a truth table for each of these compound propositions?
  - a)  $(q \rightarrow \neg p) \lor (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$
  - **b)**  $(p \vee \neg t) \wedge (p \vee \neg s)$
  - c)  $(p \rightarrow r) \lor (\neg s \rightarrow \neg t) \lor (\neg u \rightarrow v)$
  - **d)**  $(p \wedge r \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge t) \vee (r \wedge \neg t)$
- 27. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
  - a)  $p \wedge \neg p$
- **b)**  $p \vee \neg p$
- (c)  $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow q$
- **d)**  $(p \lor q) \to (p \land q)$
- (e)  $(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$
- f)  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$
- 28. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
  - a)  $p \rightarrow \neg p$
- **b)**  $p \leftrightarrow \neg p$
- c)  $p \oplus (p \vee q)$
- **d)**  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$
- $(q \rightarrow \neg p) \leftrightarrow (p \leftrightarrow q)$
- f)  $(p \leftrightarrow q) \oplus (p \leftrightarrow \neg q)$
- 29. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
  - a)  $(p \lor q) \to (p \oplus q)$
- **(b)**  $(p \oplus q) \rightarrow (p \land q)$
- c)  $(p \lor q) \oplus (p \land q)$
- **d)**  $(p \leftrightarrow q) \oplus (\neg p \leftrightarrow q)$
- e)  $(p \leftrightarrow q) \oplus (\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg r)$
- f)  $(p \oplus q) \rightarrow (p \oplus \neg q)$
- 30. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
  - a)  $p \oplus p$
- **b)**  $p \oplus \neg p$
- c)  $p \oplus \neg q$
- **d)**  $\neg p \oplus \neg q$
- e)  $(p \oplus q) \lor (p \oplus \neg q)$
- f)  $(p \oplus q) \land (p \oplus \neg q)$
- 31. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
  - a)  $p \rightarrow \neg q$
- b)  $\neg p \leftrightarrow q$
- c)  $(p \to q) \lor (\neg p \to q)$  d)  $(p \to q) \land (\neg p \to q)$
- e)  $(p \leftrightarrow q) \lor (\neg p \leftrightarrow q)$
- f)  $(\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q) \leftrightarrow (p \leftrightarrow q)$
- 32. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
  - a)  $(p \vee q) \vee r$
- **b)**  $(p \lor q) \land r$
- c)  $(p \wedge q) \vee r$
- **d)**  $(p \wedge q) \wedge r$
- (e)  $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg r$
- f)  $(p \wedge q) \vee \neg r$
- 33. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
  - a)  $p \to (\neg q \lor r)$
  - **b)**  $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$
  - c)  $(p \rightarrow q) \lor (\neg p \rightarrow r)$
  - **d)**  $(p \to q) \land (\neg p \to r)$
  - e)  $(p \leftrightarrow q) \lor (\neg q \leftrightarrow r)$
  - f)  $(\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q) \leftrightarrow (q \leftrightarrow r)$
- **34.** Construct a truth table for  $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow s$ .

- **35.** Construct a truth table for  $(p \leftrightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (r \leftrightarrow s)$ .
- 36. What is the value of x after each of these statements is encountered in a computer program, if x = 1 before the statement is reached?
  - a) if 1 + 2 = 3 then x := x + 1
  - b) if (1+1=3) OR (2+2=3) then x:=x+1
  - c) if (2+3=5) AND (3+4=7) then x := x+1
  - d) if (1+1=2) XOR (1+2=3) then x := x+1
  - e) if x < 2 then x := x + 1
- 37. Find the bitwise OR, bitwise AND, and bitwise XOR of each of these pairs of bit strings.
  - a) 101 1110, 010 0001
  - **b)** 1111 0000, 1010 1010
  - c) 00 0111 0001, 10 0100 1000
  - d) 11 1111 1111, 00 0000 0000
- 38. Evaluate each of these expressions.
  - a)  $1\ 1000 \land (0\ 1011 \lor 1\ 1011)$
  - **b)**  $(0.1111 \land 1.0101) \lor 0.1000$
  - c)  $(0\ 1010 \oplus 1\ 1011) \oplus 0\ 1000$
  - **d)**  $(1\ 1011 \lor 0\ 1010) \land (1\ 0001 \lor 1\ 1011)$

Fuzzy logic is used in artificial intelligence. In fuzzy logic, a proposition has a truth value that is a number between 0 and 1, inclusive. A proposition with a truth value of 0 is false and one with a truth value of 1 is true. Truth values that are between 0 and 1 indicate varying degrees of truth. For instance, the truth value 0.8 can be assigned to the statement "Fred is happy," because Fred is happy most of the time, and the truth value 0.4 can be assigned to the statement "John is happy," because John is happy slightly less than half the time.

- 39. The truth value of the negation of a proposition in fuzzy logic is 1 minus the truth value of the proposition. What are the truth values of the statements "Fred is not happy" and "John is not happy"?
- 40. The truth value of the conjunction of two propositions in fuzzy logic is the minimum of the truth values of the two propositions. What are the truth values of the statements "Fred and John are happy" and "Neither Fred nor John is happy"?
- 41. The truth value of the disjunction of two propositions in fuzzy logic is the maximum of the truth values of the two propositions. What are the truth values of the statements "Fred is happy, or John is happy" and "Fred is not happy, or John is not happy"?
- \*42. Is the assertion "This statement is false" a proposition?
- \*43. The nth statement in a list of 100 statements is "Exactly n of the statements in this list are false."
  - a) What conclusions can you draw from these statements?
  - b) Answer part (a) if the nth statement is "At least n of the statements in this list are false."
  - c) Answer part (b) assuming that the list contains 99 statements.
- 44. An ancient Sicilian legend says that the barber in a remote town who can be reached only by traveling a dangerous mountain road shaves those people, and only those

## **Exercises**

1. Use truth tables to verify these equivalences.

$$\mathbf{a)} \ p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$$

**b)** 
$$p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$$

c) 
$$p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$$

d) 
$$p \vee T \equiv T$$

e) 
$$p \lor p \equiv p$$

f) 
$$p \wedge p \equiv p$$

- 2. Show that  $\neg(\neg p)$  and p are logically equivalent.
- 3. Use truth tables to verify the commutative laws

a) 
$$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$$

**b)** 
$$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$$

4. Use truth tables to verify the associative laws

**a)** 
$$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$$

**b)** 
$$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$$

- 5. Use a truth table to verify the distributive law  $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r).$
- 6. Use a truth table to verify the first De Morgan law  $\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$ .
- 7. Use De Morgan's laws to find the negation of each of the following statements.
  - a) Jan is rich and happy.
  - b) Carlos will bicycle or run tomorrow.
  - c) Mei walks or takes the bus to class.
  - d) Ibrahim is smart and hard working.
- 8. Use De Morgan's laws to find the negation of each of the following statements.
  - a) Kwame will take a job in industry or go to graduate school.
  - b) Yoshiko knows Java and calculus.
  - c) James is young and strong.
  - d) Rita will move to Oregon or Washington.

9. Show that each of these conditional statements is a tautology by using truth tables.

a) 
$$(p \land q) \rightarrow p$$

**b)** 
$$p \rightarrow (p \lor q)$$

$$\neg p \to (p \to q)$$

**b)** 
$$p \rightarrow (p \lor q)$$
  
**d)**  $(p \land q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ 

e) 
$$\neg (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$$

f) 
$$\neg (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \neg q$$

10. Show that each of these conditional statements is a tautology by using truth tables.

**a)** 
$$[\neg p \land (p \lor q)] \rightarrow q$$

b) 
$$[(p \to q) \land (q \to r)] \to (p \to r)$$
  
c)  $[p \land (p \to q)] \to q$ 

c) 
$$[p \land (p \rightarrow q)] \rightarrow q$$

**d)** 
$$[(p \lor q) \land (p \to r) \land (q \to r)] \to r$$

- 11. Show that each conditional statement in Exercise 9 is a tautology without using truth tables.
- 12. Show that each conditional statement in Exercise 10 is a tautology without using truth tables.
- 13. Use truth tables to verify the absorption laws.

a) 
$$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$$

**b)** 
$$p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$$

- **14.** Determine whether  $(\neg p \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$ tautology.
- whether  $(\neg q \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$ 15. Determine tautology.

Each of Exercises 16-28 asks you to show that two compound propositions are logically equivalent. To do this, either show that both sides are true, or that both sides are false, for exactly the same combinations of truth values of the propositional variables in these expressions (whichever is easier).

- **16.** Show that  $p \leftrightarrow q$  and  $(p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q)$  are equivalent.
- 17. Show that  $\neg(p \leftrightarrow q)$  and  $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$  are logically equivalent.



HENRY MAURICE SHEFFER (1883-1964) Henry Maurice Sheffer, born to Jewish parents in the western Ukraine, emigrated to the United States in 1892 with his parents and six siblings. He studied at the Boston Latin School before entering Harvard, where he completed his undergraduate degree in 1905, his master's in 1907, and his Ph.D. in philosophy in 1908. After holding a postdoctoral position at Harvard, Henry traveled to Europe on a fellowship. Upon returning to the United States, he became an academic nomad, spending one year each at the University of Washington, Cornell, the University of Minnesota, the University of Missouri, and City College in New York. In 1916 he returned to Harvard as a faculty member in the philosophy department. He remained at Harvard until his retirement in 1952.

Sheffer introduced what is now known as the Sheffer stroke in 1913; it became well known only after its use in the 1925 edition of Whitehead and Russell's Principia Mathematica. In this same edition Russell wrote that Sheffer had invented a powerful method that could be used to simplify the Principia. Because of this comment, Sheffer was something of a mystery man to logicians, especially because Sheffer, who published little in his career, never published the details of this method, only describing it in mimeographed notes and in a brief published abstract.

Sheffer was a dedicated teacher of mathematical logic. He liked his classes to be small and did not like auditors. When strangers appeared in his classroom, Sheffer would order them to leave, even his colleagues or distinguished guests visiting Harvard. Sheffer was barely five feet tall; he was noted for his wit and vigor, as well as for his nervousness and irritability. Although widely liked, he was quite lonely. He is noted for a quip he spoke at his retirement: "Old professors never die, they just become emeriti." Sheffer is also credited with coining the term "Boolean algebra" (the subject of Chapter 11 of this text). Sheffer was briefly married and lived most of his later life in small rooms at a hotel packed with his logic books and vast files of slips of paper he used to jot down his ideas. Unfortunately, Sheffer suffered from severe depression during the last two decades of his life.

- 18. Show that  $p \to q$  and  $\neg q \to \neg p$  are logically equivalent.
- 19. Show that  $\neg p \leftrightarrow q$  and  $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$  are logically equivalent.
- **20.** Show that  $\neg (p \oplus q)$  and  $p \leftrightarrow q$  are logically equivalent.
- 21. Show that  $\neg (p \leftrightarrow q)$  and  $\neg p \leftrightarrow q$  are logically equivalent.
- 22. Show that  $(p \to q) \land (p \to r)$  and  $p \to (q \land r)$  are logically equivalent.
- 23. Show that  $(p \to r) \land (q \to r)$  and  $(p \lor q) \to r$  are logically equivalent.
- **24.** Show that  $(p \to q) \lor (p \to r)$  and  $p \to (q \lor r)$  are logically equivalent.
- **25.** Show that  $(p \to r) \lor (q \to r)$  and  $(p \land q) \to r$  are logically equivalent.
- **26.** Show that  $\neg p \to (q \to r)$  and  $q \to (p \lor r)$  are logically equivalent.
- **27.** Show that  $p \leftrightarrow q$  and  $(p \to q) \land (q \to p)$  are logically equivalent.
  - **28.** Show that  $p \leftrightarrow q$  and  $\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$  are logically equivalent.
  - **29.** Show that  $(p \to q) \land (q \to r) \to (p \to r)$  is a tautology.
  - **30.** Show that  $(p \lor q) \land (\neg p \lor r) \rightarrow (q \lor r)$  is a tautology.
  - 31. Show that  $(p \to q) \to r$  and  $p \to (q \to r)$  are not equivalent.
  - 32. Show that  $(p \land q) \rightarrow r$  and  $(p \rightarrow r) \land (q \rightarrow r)$  are not equivalent.
  - 33. Show that  $(p \to q) \to (r \to s)$  and  $(p \to r) \to (q \to s)$  are not logically equivalent.

The **dual** of a compound proposition that contains only the logical operators  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$ , and  $\neg$  is the compound proposition obtained by replacing each  $\vee$  by  $\wedge$ , each  $\wedge$  by  $\vee$ , each T by F, and each F by T. The dual of s is denoted by  $s^*$ .

- 34. Find the dual of each of these compound propositions.
  - a)  $p \vee \neg q$
- **b)**  $p \wedge (q \vee (r \wedge \mathbf{T}))$
- c)  $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \mathbf{F})$
- 35. Find the dual of each of these compound propositions.
  - a)  $p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r$
- **b)**  $(p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee s$
- c)  $(p \vee \mathbf{F}) \wedge (q \vee \mathbf{T})$
- **36.** When does  $s^* = s$ , where s is a compound proposition?
- 37. Show that  $(s^*)^* = s$  when s is a compound proposition.
- 38. Show that the logical equivalences in Table 6, except for the double negation law, come in pairs, where each pair contains compound propositions that are duals of each other.
- \*\*39. Why are the duals of two equivalent compound propositions also equivalent, where these compound propositions contain only the operators ∧, ∨, and ¬?
  - **40.** Find a compound proposition involving the propositional variables p, q, and r that is true when p and q are true and r is false, but is false otherwise. [Hint: Use a conjunction of each propositional variable or its negation.]
  - 41. Find a compound proposition involving the propositional variables p, q, and r that is true when exactly two of p, q, and r are true and is false otherwise. [Hint: Form a disjunction of conjunctions. Include a conjunction for each

- combination of values for which the propositional variable is true. Each conjunction should include each of the three propositional variables or their negations.]
- Suppose that a truth table in *n* propositional variables is specified. Show that a compound proposition with this truth table can be formed by taking the disjunction of conjunctions of the variables or their negations, with one conjunction included for each combination of values for which the compound proposition is true. The resulting compound proposition is said to be in **disjunctive normal form.**

A collection of logical operators is called **functionally com- plete** if every compound proposition is logically equivalent to a compound proposition involving only these logical operators.

- 43. Show that ¬, ∧, and ∨ form a functionally complete collection of logical operators. [Hint: Use the fact that every compound proposition is logically equivalent to one in disjunctive normal form, as shown in Exercise 42.]
- \*44. Show that  $\neg$  and  $\wedge$  form a functionally complete collection of logical operators. [Hint: First use a De Morgan law to show that  $p \vee q$  is equivalent to  $\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$ .]
- \*45. Show that ¬ and ∨ form a functionally complete collection of logical operators.

The following exercises involve the logical operators NAND and NOR. The proposition p NAND q is true when either p or q, or both, are false; and it is false when both p and q are true. The proposition p NOR q is true when both p and q are false, and it is false otherwise. The propositions p NAND q and p NOR q are denoted by  $p \mid q$  and  $p \downarrow q$ , respectively. (The operators  $\mid$  and  $\downarrow$  are called the **Sheffer stroke** and the **Peirce arrow** after H. M. Sheffer and C. S. Peirce, respectively.)

- **46.** Construct a truth table for the logical operator *NAND*.
- 47. Show that  $p \mid q$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg (p \land q)$ .
- 48. Construct a truth table for the logical operator NOR.
- **49.** Show that  $p \downarrow q$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg (p \lor q)$ .
- 50. In this exercise we will show that {↓} is a functionally complete collection of logical operators.
  - a) Show that  $p \downarrow p$  is logically equivalent to  $\neg p$ .
  - b) Show that  $(p \downarrow q) \downarrow (p \downarrow q)$  is logically equivalent to  $p \lor q$ .
  - c) Conclude from parts (a) and (b), and Exercise 49, that {\pmathrm{\p
- \*51. Find a compound proposition logically equivalent to  $p \to q$  using only the logical operator  $\downarrow$ .
- 52. Show that {|} is a functionally complete collection of logical operators.
- 53. Show that  $p \mid q$  and  $q \mid p$  are equivalent.
- 54. Show that  $p \mid (q \mid r)$  and  $(p \mid q) \mid r$  are not equivalent, so that the logical operator  $\mid$  is not associative.
- \*55. How many different truth tables of compound propositions are there that involve the propositional variables p and q?