

c Show that $\rho(T_g) = 2$
 ↓
 Gauss matrix

$$x_1^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_2^{(k-1)} + 2x_3^{(k-1)} + 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_2^{(k)} = -x_1^{(k)} - x_3^{(k-1)} + 2$$

$$x_3^{(k)} = -2x_1^{(k)} - 2x_2^{(k)} + 5$$

So, we have

$$x_1^{(k)} = -2x_2^{(k-1)} + 2x_3^{(k-1)} + 7$$

$$x_2^{(k)} = -2x_2^{(k-1)} - 3x_3^{(k-1)} - 5$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_3^{(k)} &= -2(-2x_2^{(k-1)} + 2x_3^{(k-1)} + 7) - 2(-2x_2^{(k-1)} - 3x_3^{(k-1)} - 5) + 5 \\ &= 4x_2^{(k-1)} - 4x_3^{(k-1)} - 14 + 4x_2^{(k-1)} + 6x_3^{(k-1)} - 10 + 5 \\ &= 2x_3^{(k-1)} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow T_g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|T_g - \lambda I| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2-\lambda & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \Leftrightarrow -\lambda(2-\lambda)(2-\lambda) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 2 = \lambda_3$$

$$\text{So, } \rho(T_g) = \max\{0, 2, 2\} = 2$$

d Show that the Gauss-Seidel method applied as in part (b) fails to give a good approximation in 25 iterations.

