Evaluating the Literature

RHS 481

Lecture 4

Dr. Einas Al-Eisa

Relevance of reviewing the literature

 Research should be conducted in light of existing relevant research results

 Clinicians must evaluate research articles before applying the results

Title:

> Identifies major variables studied

Provides clues about the purpose of the research

Examples: phrases such as "characteristics of" indicate descriptive research, "association between" indicate relationship analysis

Abstract:

- ➤ Between 150-300 words (depending on the journal)
- ➤ Briefly summarizes research purpose, methods, and results
- ➤ Does not include summary of related literature
- Does not include limitations & implications of research

Introduction:

- ➤ Defines the broad problem that underlies the study
- ➤ State the purpose of the study
- ➤ Places the problem and purpose into the theoretical context of previous work
- > Presents research hypothesis

Methods:

- **≻**Subjects
- >Instruments
- > Procedures
- ➤ Data analysis
- ➤Often refers to methods or procedures used by others as the basis for the current research

Results:

- Presents the results without comment on their meaning
- Often subdivided into sections corresponding to the variables studied

Much of the information is usually contained in tables and figures

Discussion:

➤ Presents the author's interpretation of the results

Refers to previous work that is related to the findings of the study

- Limitations of study
- Directions for future research

Conclusions:

Restates the important findings of the study concisely

Presents a conclusion for each purpose outlined in the introduction

References:

Lists references cited in the text of the article

Appendix:

Presents survey instruments or detailed treatment protocols

Guidelines for writing about published research

Discuss the study in the past tense

Clearly distinguish between your own opinions and those of the authors

 Qualify generalizations so they are not erroneously attributed to anyone

Example Inappropriate wording

 Patients with greater knee range of motion have better functional outcomes after surgery

(implies that the relationship between range of motion and functional outcome is well established)

Example Appropriate wording

 Therapists and surgeons often assume that patients with greater knee range of motion have better functional outcomes after surgery

(makes it clear that the relationship between range of motion and functional outcomes is an assumption not verified)

Evaluations of studies

Trustworthiness:

- Whether sources of *invalidity* have been controlled
- 2. Whether authors acknowledge *limitations* of the study
- 3. Whether the *conclusions* drawn are defensible in light of the methods used in the study

Research validity

 The extent to which the conclusions of the research are believable and useful

Internal validity:

- The extent to which the results demonstrate that a causal relationship exists between the independent and dependent variables
- Is the research designed so that there are only few alternative explanations for changes in the dependent variable other than the effect of the independent variable?

Internal validity:

- Example: eliminating confounding (extraneous)
 variables through control of the experimental
 setting to eliminate their effects on the
 dependent variable
- Should be planned as early as the proposal

Construct validity:

Concerned with the meaning of variables within the study

 Are the research constructs defined so that the research can be placed in the framework of other research within the field?

Construct validity:

Labeled versus implemented construct

 Example: using active range of motion as a dependent measure of shoulder function. Labeled construct is "function", and implemented construct is "range of motion"

External validity:

 To whom, in what settings, and at what times can the results be generalized?

 To whom can the results of this research be applied?

External validity:

 Requires thoughtful consideration of the population to whom the results of the study can be applied

Statistical conclusion validity:

 Are statistical tests used correctly to analyze the data?

Validity Example

 To achieve a high level of internal validity, researchers standardize the experimental treatment to control confounding variables.

Such standardization compromises
 external validity because the results can
 be applied only to settings in which the
 treatment can be controlled.

Evaluations of studies

Utility:

- The usefulness of the study results
- May vary among readers
- Example: the results of a well controlled study of a narrowly defined patient population may be highly trustworthy, but of low utility to a clinician who sees a different patient population

1. Classify the research and variables

Example: In a retrospective study, Richardson (1999) examined the effects of continuous passive motion (CPM) on the rehabilitation after total knee replacement. Subjects were classified in two groups according to treatment received: usual postoperative therapy, and postoperative therapy with CPM. Dependent variables were knee flexion & extension ROM at discharge. All data were collected through retrospective chart review.

2. Compare purposes and conclusions

 Example: the purpose of this study was clearly stated: to compare the effect of adding CPM to postoperative total knee replacement rehabilitation program. The conclusions were consistent with the purpose.....

3. Describe design and control elements

 Example: The author did not indicate the proportion of patients who received all of the planned CPM sessions. Also, assignment of subjects to groups were based on the surgeons choice of treatment. Therefore, the effects of the type of rehabilitation will be confounded by the surgeon.

4. Identify threats to validity

 Example: The major internal validity concern in this study was assignment to groups. Very little information was given about why a patient received either traditional therapy or therapy with CPM.

Example: The major construct validity
threat in this study relates to the use of
ROM as a functional outcome after knee
replacement. It would have been useful to
include functional measures such as
ambulation or stair-climbing.

 Example: The external validity of the study is strong in some areas and weak in others. The subjects seem representative of typical patients who receive total knee replacement: elderly women with osteoarthritis.

 The results, however, may not be applicable to patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

5. Place the study in the context of other research

 Example: In contrast to Richardson's study, Megan et al. (2001) found that implementing CPM in the postoperative protocol following knee replacement does not influence knee ROM

Sample size

 Researchers must make decisions about sample size, and these decisions have a great deal of impact on the validity of the statistical conclusions of the research.

Sample size

 Larger samples tend to be more representative of their populations than smaller samples

 There is less variability from sample to sample with larger sample sizes