Question 1:

Use the simple Gaussian elimination method to find all values of α and β for which the following linear system is consistent or inconsistent. Also, find the solution of the consistent system.

Question 2:

Consider the following matrix and its inverse as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 10 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 48/475 & 1/95 & 1/475 \\ 1/95 & 2/19 & 2/95 \\ 1/475 & 2/95 & 99/950 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that Gauss-Seidel method converges faster than Jacobi method for the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = [9, 7, 6]^T$. If an approximate solution for this system is $x^* = [0.97, 0.91, 0.74]^T$, then find the relative error.

Question 3:

Find α for which the following matrix A is singular using LU decomposition by Doolittle's method $(l_{ii} = 1)$.

	1	2	α	
A =	2	7	3α	
	α	3α	4	

Then use the smallest positive integer value of α to find the solution of the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = [1, 0, 3]^T$ using Doolittle's method.

Question 4:

Let $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ be defined in the interval [2, 4] and $x_0 = 2$, $x_1 = 2.5$, $x_2 = 4$. Compute the value of the unknown point $\eta \in (2, 4)$ in the error formula of the quadratic Lagrange interpolating polynomial for the approximation of f(3) using the given points. Also, compute an error bound for the corresponding error.

(6)

(7)

(6)

(6)

Question 1:

Use the simple Gaussian elimination method to find all values of α and β for which the following linear system is consistent or inconsistent. Also, find the solution of the consistent system.

Solution. Writing the given system in the augmented matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 & 2\alpha \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & -4 & 2\alpha - 2 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 & \beta - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & -4 & 2\alpha - 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta - \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

We finished with the second column. So third row of the equivalent upper-triangular system is

$$0x_1 + 0x_2 + 0x_3 = \beta - \alpha.$$
 (1)

The linear system is inconsistent if

$$\beta - \alpha \neq 0.$$

The linear system is consistent if

$$\beta - \alpha = 0.$$

Thus

in three unknowns. Let $x_3 = t$,

$$x_2^* = \alpha/2 - 1/2 + t;$$
 $x_1^* = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha/2 - 1/2 - 2t).$

Hence

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1/2 + \alpha/2 - 2t3), 1/2\alpha - 1/2 + t, t \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

is an approximation solution of consistent system for any value of t.

(6)

Question 2:

Consider the following matrix and its inverse as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 10 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 48/475 & 1/95 & 1/475 \\ 1/95 & 2/19 & 2/95 \\ 1/475 & 2/95 & 99/950 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that Gauss-Seidel method converges faster than Jacobi method for the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = [9, 7, 6]^T$. If an approximate solution for this system is $x^* = [0.97, 0.91, 0.74]^T$, then find the relative error.

Solution.

$$T_J = -D^{-1}(L+U) = -\begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 10 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & -2\\ 0 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{10} & 0\\ \frac{1}{10} & 0 & \frac{2}{10}\\ 0 & \frac{2}{10} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{split} \|T_J\|_{\infty} &= \max\left\{\frac{1}{10}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{2}{10}\right\} = \frac{3}{10} = 0.3 < 1.\\ T_G &= -(D+L)^{-1}U = -\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 10 & 0 & 0\\ -1 & 10 & 0\\ 0 & -2 & 10 \end{array}\right)^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -2\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right),\\ T_G &= -\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{1}{10} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{100} & \frac{1}{10} & 0\\ \frac{1}{500} & \frac{1}{50} & \frac{1}{10} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -2\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \frac{1}{10} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{100} & \frac{1}{5}\\ 0 & \frac{1}{500} & \frac{1}{25} \end{array}\right).\\ \|T_G\|_{\infty} &= \max\left\{\frac{1}{10}, \frac{21}{100}, \frac{26}{500}\right\} = \frac{21}{100} = 0.21 < 1. \end{split}$$

Since $||T_G||_{\infty} < ||T_J||_{\infty}$, which shows that Gauss-Seidel method will converge faster than Jacobi method for the given linear system.

The l_{∞} -norm of both given matrices are

$$\|A\|_{\infty} = 13 \text{ and } \|A^{-1}\|_{\infty} = 13/95, \quad K(A) = \|A\|_{\infty} \||A^{-1}\|_{\infty} = (13)(13/95) = 1.7789,$$
$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 9\\7\\6 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0\\-1 & 10 & 0\\0 & -2 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0.97\\0.91\\0.74 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.21\\0.35\\0.42 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\|\mathbf{r}\|_{\infty} = 0.42, \quad \|\mathbf{b}\|_{\infty} = 9.$$
$$\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le (1.7789)\frac{(0.42)}{9} = 0.0830.$$

Question 3:

Find α for which the following matrix A is singular using LU decomposition by Doolittle's method $(l_{ii} = 1)$.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & \alpha \\ 2 & 7 & 3\alpha \\ \alpha & 3\alpha & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then use the smallest positive integer value of α to find the solution of the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = [1, 0, 3]^T$ using Doolittle's method.

Solution. We use Simple Gauss-elimination method to convert the following matrix of the given system by using the multiples $m_{21} = 2$, $m_{31} = \alpha$ and $m_{32} = \alpha/3$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \alpha \\ 2 & 3 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & (12 - 4\alpha^2)/3 \end{pmatrix} \equiv U.$$

Thus LU-factorization of A is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \alpha \\ 2 & 7 & 3\alpha \\ \alpha & 3\alpha & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & \alpha/3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \alpha \\ 2 & 3 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & (12 - 4\alpha^2)/3 \end{pmatrix} = LU.$$
$$|A| = |U| = 1 \times 3 \times (12 - 4\alpha^2)/3 = 0, \quad \alpha = \pm\sqrt{3}.$$

Now using $\alpha = 1$ and solving the first system $L\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}$ for unknown vector \mathbf{y} , that is

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 0 & 0\\2 & 1 & 0\\1 & 1/3 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{r}y_1\\y_2\\y_3\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{r}1\\0\\3\end{array}\right).$$

Performing forward substitution yields

$$y_1 = 1, \quad y_2 = -2, \quad y_3 = 8/3.$$

Then solving the second system $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ for unknown vector \mathbf{x} , that is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 8/3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 8/3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Performing backward substitution yields

$$x_1 = 2, \quad x_2 = -1, \quad x_3 = 1.$$

Question 4:

Let $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ be defined in the interval [2, 4] and $x_0 = 2$, $x_1 = 2.5$, $x_2 = 4$. Compute the value of the unknown point $\eta \in (2, 4)$ in the error formula of the quadratic Lagrange interpolating polynomial for the approximation of f(3) using the given points. Also, compute an error bound for the corresponding error.

Solution. Consider the quadratic Lagrange interpolating polynomial as follows:

$$p_2(x) = L_0(x)f(x_0) + L_1(x)f(x_1) + L_2(x)f(x_2)$$

At the given values of $x_0 = 2, x_1 = 2.5, x_2 = 4$, we have, f(2) = 1/2, f(2.5) = 1/2.5, and f(4) = 1/5, so using x = 3, we have

$$f(3) \approx p_2(3) = (1/2)L_0(3) + (1/2.5)L_1(3) + (1/5)L_2(3).$$

Then

$$L_0(3) = \frac{(3-2.5)(3-4)}{(2-2.5)(2-4)} = -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$L_1(3) = \frac{(3-2)(3-4)}{(2.5-2)(2.5-4)} = \frac{4}{3},$$

$$L_2(3) = \frac{(3-2)(3-2.5)}{(4-2)(4-2.5)} = \frac{1}{6}.$$

 So

$$f(3) \approx p_2(3) = (1/2)(-1/2) + (1/2.5)(4/3) + (1/5)(1/6) = 0.3166,$$

which is the required approximation of f(3) by the quadratic interpolating polynomial. The error is

$$f(3) - p_2(3) = 0.333 - 0.3166 = 0.0167.$$

Since the error formula of the quadratic Lagrange polynomial is

$$E = f(x) - p_2(x) = \frac{f'''(\eta)}{3!}(x - x_0)(x - x_1)(x - x_2), \quad \eta \in I,$$

and the third derivative of f is

$$f'(\eta) = -1/\eta^2$$
, $f''(\eta) = 2/\eta^3$, $f'''(\eta) = -6/\eta^4$.

Thus

$$0.0167 = f(3) - p_2(3) = \left(\frac{(3-2)(3-2.5)(3-4)}{6}\right)\frac{(-6)}{(\eta^4)} = \frac{(0.5)}{(\eta^4)},$$

and solving for η , we get

$$\eta^4 = 29.9401, \quad \eta^2 = 5.4718, \quad \eta = 2.3392 \in (2,4),$$

•

required value of the unknown point η .