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## Routing Algorithms

- Routing - main function of network layer
- Routing algorithm
- decides which output line incoming packet should be transmitted on
- fills up and updates routing tables
- Forwarding
- look up the routing tables and put the packet in the appropriate output line


## Desired Properties

- Correctness
- Simplicity
- Robustness: ability to handle failures
- Stability: converge to equilibrium
- Fairness
- Optimality



## Two Major Classes

- Non-adaptive/static routing
- routing decisions not based on traffic, topology
- instead, routes are computed in advance
- Adaptive routing
- Change their decisions to reflect changes in the topology and traffic
- Differ in: information source, update frequency and optimization metrics


## Optimality Principle

- If router $J$ is on the optimal path from router $I$ to router $K$, then the optimal path from $J$ to $K$ also falls along the same route
- Set of optimal routes from all sources to a given destination form a tree rooted at the destination "sink tree"
- Goal of all routing algorithms: discover and use sink tree for all routers


## The Optimality Principle

Each portion of a best path is also a best path; the union of them to a router is a tree called the sink tree

- Best means fewest hops in the example


Network


Sink tree of best paths to router B

## Shortest Path Routing

- Build a graph of network
- Each node represent a router
- Each arc represent a link
- Find shortest path between the two nodes


## Shortest Path Routing

- Each arc is labeled with a weight
- number of hops
- geographic distance
- mean queuing/transmission delay
- bandwidth
- cost


## Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Finds shortest paths from given source node $s$ to all other nodes
- Develops paths in order of increasing path length
- Runs in stages, each time adding node with next shortest path
- algorithm terminates when all nodes processed by algorithm (in set T)


## Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Step 1 [Initialization]
- $T=\{s\}$ Set of nodes so far incorporated
- $L(n)=w(s, n)$ for $n \neq s$
- initial path costs to neighboring nodes are simply link costs


## Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Step 2 [Get Next Node]
- find neighboring node not in $T$ with least-cost path from $s$
- incorporate node $x$ into $T$ (node marked as permanent)
- also incorporate the edge that is incident on that node and a node in $T$ that contributes to the path
- Step 3 [Update Least-Cost Paths]
- $L(n)=\min [L(n), L(x)+w(x, n)]$ for all $n \notin T$
- if latter term is minimum, path from $s$ to $n$ is path from $s$ to $x$ concatenated with edge from $x$ to $n$


## Dijkstra's Algorithm
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## Dijkstra's Algorithm

Sink tree based on shortest paths


## Dijkstra's Algorithm

| $\#$ | T | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | $2, \mathrm{~A}$ | $5, \mathrm{~A}$ | $1, \mathrm{~A}$ | $\infty,-$ | $\infty,-$ |
| 2 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\}$ | $2, \mathrm{~A}$ | $4, \mathrm{D}$ | - | $2, \mathrm{D}$ | $\infty,-$ |
| 3 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}\}$ | - | $4, \mathrm{D}$ | - | $2, \mathrm{D}$ | $\infty,-$ |
| 4 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}\}$ | - | $3, \mathrm{E}$ | - | - | $4, \mathrm{E}$ |
| 5 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{C}\}$, | - | - | - | - | $4, \mathrm{E}$ |
| 6 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}\}$ | - | - | - | - | - |

## Dijkstra's Algorithm



A network and first five steps in computing the shortest paths from A to D. Pink arrows show the sink tree so far.

## Shortest Path Algorithm

| To | A |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Round |  | B

## Dijkstra's Algorithm


http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Dijkstra Animation.gif

## Flooding

- Send every packet to all lines except the one it arrived on
- Large number of duplicate packets
- Should use counter to prevent infinite duplicates
- Should use sequence numbers to identify duplicates
- Will always find shortest path


## Flooding

- Military applications
- Distributed database systems
- Wireless stations use it by nature
- Metric for other algorithms (e.g. delay)


## Distance Vector Routing

- Each router maintains a table containing
- destination
- best known distance to that destination
- line to use to get there
- Uses Bellman-Ford algorithm
- Used in ARPANET and now used in RIP
- Distance can be any metric: delay, hop count, queue length, etc.


## Distance Vector Routing

- Each router exchange with its neighbors list of delays to each destination
- Router X estimates delay to router Z
- Router $Y$ is a neighbor to router $X$
- $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Z})=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})+\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})$


## Distance Vector Routing


(a)

(b)
(a) A subnet (b) Input from A, I, H, K, and the new routing table for J

## The Count-to-Infinity Problem

## Failures can cause DV to "count to infinity" while seeking a path to an unreachable node



Good news of a path
to $A$ spreads quickly


Bad news of no path to $A$ is learned slowly

## Link State Routing

- Each router construct the topology of the entire configuration and calculates the shortest path to each destination network


## Link State Routing

- Discover neighbors and learn their network addresses
- Measure delay or cost to each of the neighbors
- Construct a packet telling all what has just learned
- Send this packet to all other routers
- Compute shortest path to every other router (using Dijkstra's algorithm)


## Learning about Neighbors

- Send HELLO packet on point-to-point lines
- If routers are connected to a LAN, the LAN can be represented as a node

(a)

(b)


## Measuring Line Cost

- Send ECHO packet
- Wait for response
- Measure round-trip-time
- To take load into account: start timer when packet is queued
- To ignore the load: start timer when packet reaches the front of the queue


## Building Link State Packets


(a)

|  | Link |  | State |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B |  | C |  | D |  |
| Seq. | Seq. |  | Seq. |  | Seq. |  |
| Age | Age |  | Age |  | Age |  |
| B 4 | A | 4 | B | 2 | C | 3 |
| E 5 | C | 2 | D | 3 | F | 7 |
|  | F | 6 | E | 1 |  |  |

(b)

Packets

| E |  | F |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seq |  | Seq. |  |
| Ag |  | Age |  |
| A | 5 | B | 6 |
| C | 1 | D | 7 |
| F | 8 | E | 8 |

## Distributing Link State Packets

- Use flooding
- Packet contains sequence number
- When packet is received
- If new, forward to all except coming from
- If duplicate, discard
- If old, rejected


## Distributing Link State Packets

## Problems

- Sequence number wrap around
- Use 32-bit sequence numbers
- Router crashes, seq. no. starts over
- Seq. no. corrupted: 65540 instead of 4
- Include age, decremented once per second


## Distributing Link State Packets



Send flags ACK flags

| Source | Seq. | Age | A | C | F | A | c | F | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 21 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| F | 21 | 60 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| E | 21 | 59 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| C | 20 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| D | 21 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |

The packet buffer for router $B$

## Hierarchical Routing

- Routing tables grow with network size
- More router memory
- More CPU time to scan them
- More bandwidth to send updates
- For large networks, better to do routing hierarchically
- Hierarchy can be in multiple levels
- regions
- clusters
- zones
- groups ...


## Hierarchical Routing

Full table for 1 A

| Dest. | Line | Hops |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A | - | - |
| 1B | 1B | 1 |
| 1 C | 1C | 1 |
| 2A | 1B | 2 |
| 2B | 1B | 3 |
| 2 C | 1B | 3 |
| 2D | 1B | 4 |
| 3A | 1 C | 3 |
| 3B | 1 C | 2 |
| 4A | 1C | 3 |
| 4B | 1 C | 4 |
| 4 C | 1C | 4 |
| 5A | 1C | 4 |
| 5B | 1 C | 5 |
| 5 C | 1B | 5 |
| 5D | 1C | 6 |
| 5E | 1 C | 5 |

(b)


Hierarchical table for 1A
Dest. Line Hops

| Dest. | Line | Hops |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | - |
| 1 B | 1 B | 1 |
| 1 C | 1 C | 1 |
| 2 | 1 B | 2 |
|  | 1 C | 2 |
|  | 1 C | 3 |
|  | 1 C | 4 |
|  |  |  |

Hierarchical
routing table has
7 entries
(c)

## Hierarchical Routing

- The gain in space is not free
- Increased path length for some hosts
- Example
- best route from 1A to 5C is via R2

- with hierarchical routing all traffic to R5 is via R3
- because it is better for most dests in R5


## Broadcast Routing

- Send message to many or all other hosts
- e.g. distributing information
- Send one packet to each destination?
- wasteful of bandwidth
- require having complete list of destinations
- Flooding
- generates too many packets, waste bandwidth


## Broadcast Routing

- Multi-destination routing
- packet contain list of destinations
- or bit map indicating desired destinations
- Spanning tree
- use sink tree for router initiating broadcast
- includes all routers but contains no loops
- copy packet to all spanning tree lines (-arrived)
- routers need to know spanning tree of source
- works with link state, not distance vector


## Broadcast Routing

- Reverse path routing
- approximate without knowing spanning tree
- if packet arrive on link used to send to source
- high chance it followed best path from source
- thus, forward to all except incoming line
- from other link? duplicate, discard


## Example: Reverse Path Routing



Subnet
(a)


Sink tree for ( 1 )


## Example: Reverse-Path Routing

- First hop: I sends 4 packets to F, H, J, and N
- each of these arrives on the preferred path to I
- so, indicated by circle; forwarded
- Second hop: 8 packets are generated
- all 8 arrive at previously unvisited routers
- 5 of these arrive along preferred line
- Third hop: 6 packets generated
- only 3 arrive at preferred path (C, E, K)
- others are duplicates (copies); discarded


## Example: Reverse-Path Routing

- Fourth hop: 4 packets generated
- 2 arrive at preferred lines (B, L); forwarded
- 2 are duplicates; discarded
- Fifth hop: 2 packets generated
- 2 are duplicates; discarded
- no more forwarded packets
- Total: 24 packets, 5 hops
- If sink tree was followed exactly
- only 14 packets, 4 hops required


## Advantages of Reverse-Path Forwarding

- Reasonably efficient and easy to implement
- Routers don't need to know spanning trees
- No overhead of destination lists in packets
- as in multi-destination addressing
- No special mechanism to stop the process
- as in flooding


## Multicast Routing

- Multicasting
- sending message to a group of nodes
- routing algorithm called multicast routing
- Why multicasting?
- distributed processing
- broadcasting is inefficient, sometimes insecure
- Require group management
- create, destroy groups
- processes to join, leave groups


## Multicast Routing


(a)

(c)
multicast tree for group 1

(b)
spanning tree for leftmost node

(d)
multicast tree for group 2

## External References

- Data and Computer Communications, Stallings, 8/E
- Dijkstra and Bellman-Ford algorithm descriptions and examples

