

## 6. Lebesgue Measure on $\mathbb{R}$

Thm There exists only and only one measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  satisfying:

i)  $\lambda$  is invariant under translation

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall A \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}; \lambda(x+A) = \lambda(A))$$

ii)  $\lambda([0,1]) = 1$ .

Proof: • Uniqueness Assume there exists 2 measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  satisfying (i) and (ii)

$$\forall n, \nu([c, \frac{1}{n}]) \leq \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow \nu(\{0\}) = 0$$

Then any finite set or countable set is a null set and all intervals  $[a,b]$ ,  $(a,b]$ ,  $[b,a]$  have the same measure.

.....

We denote  $\mathcal{E}$  the set of finite Union of intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$  of the form  $[a,b]$ ,  $a < b$ . The set  $\mathcal{E}$  is closed under finite intersection and  $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_n [-n, n]$ . Then  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

It follows from Thm 5.8 that  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

• Existence: For  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu^*(A) = \inf \sum_{I \in \mathcal{R}} L(I)$

$\mathcal{R}$  : describes the whole of finite or countable coverings of  $A$  by open intervals and  $L(I)$  is the length of  $I$ .

We prove: . for any interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ .  $\mu^*(I) = L(I)$ .

. for  $\Omega$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu^*(\Omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L(I_n)$

. for any subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu^*(A) = \inf \mu^*(O)$

Now we use Carathéodory's thm  
The set of the  $\mu^*$ -measurable subsets is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$

and  $\mu^*/L$  is a complete measure.

This  $\sigma$ -algebra is called the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra and the elements of  $L$  are called the Lebesgue measurable sets. We will note  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$  this  $\sigma$ -algebra

Proposition: Any Borelian subset is Lebesgue measurable

proof

it suffices to show that  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(a, \infty) \in \mathcal{L}$ ,

let  $E$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Our goal is to prove that

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(E \cap (a, \infty)) + \mu^*(E \cap (-\infty, a])$$

As  $\mu^*$  is outer measure then  $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(E \cap (a, \infty)) + \mu^*(E \cap (-\infty, a])$

If  $\mu^*(E) = \infty$  no proof.

Assume  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists \Omega_\varepsilon \supset E / \mu^*(\Omega_\varepsilon) \leq \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon$

$$\text{if } a \notin \Omega_\varepsilon \quad \mu^*(\Omega_\varepsilon) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}} \lambda(I) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C} \cap (a, \infty)} \lambda(I) + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C} \cap (-\infty, a]}$$

with  $\mathcal{C}$  the set of connected components of  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ .

$$\Rightarrow \mu^*(\Omega_\varepsilon) \geq \mu^*(E \cap (a, \infty)) + \mu^*(E \cap (-\infty, a])$$

Now if  $a \in \Omega_\varepsilon$  - put  $\Omega'_\varepsilon = \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \{a\}$ . We remark that  $\mu^*(\Omega'_\varepsilon) = \mu^*(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ .

Now take  $\lambda = \mu^*$ . The measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}^*$  is called the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$

Prop: Let  $\mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}^*$  the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\text{then } \forall A \in \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R}^*, \quad \lambda(A) = \inf_{\text{open } \omega \ni A} \lambda(\omega); \quad \lambda(A) = \sup_{\text{compact } K \subset A} \lambda(K)$$

We say that the measure  $\lambda$  is regular.

Proof: - If  $A$  is bounded,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} / A \subset [-n, n]$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $[-n, n] \setminus A$  is measurable, then  $\exists$  open set  $\omega \supset [-n, n] \setminus A$

$$\text{such that } \lambda(\omega) \leq \lambda([-n, n] \setminus A) + \varepsilon \Rightarrow \lambda([-n, n] \setminus A) = \inf_{\omega \ni A} \lambda(\omega)$$

$$= \lambda([-n, n]) - \lambda(A) + \varepsilon$$

Let  $K = [-n, n] \cap \omega^c$ .  $K$  is compact in  $A$ .

$$2n = \lambda([-n, n]) = \lambda([-n, n] \cap \omega^c) + \lambda([-n, n] \cap \omega)$$

$$\leq \lambda(K) + \varepsilon + \lambda([-n, n]) - \lambda(A)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(A) \leq \lambda(K) + \varepsilon \text{ and } \lambda(A) = \sup_{K \subset A} \lambda(K)$$

- If  $A$  is not bounded  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists K_n \subset [-n, n] \cap A$

$$\lambda(K_n) \geq \lambda([-n, n] \cap A) - 1/n$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{K \text{ compact} \subset A} \lambda(K) \geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\lambda(K_n)) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda([-n, n] \cap A) - 1/n) = \lambda(A).$$

## 7- Measurable functions

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be 2 non empty sets. We showed that the pull back of a  $\sigma$ -algebra by a mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ .

Def: If  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B})$  are 2 measurable spaces. A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called measurable if the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ .

Thm Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B})$  be 2 measurable spaces and suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  generates the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is measurable if and only if  $\forall V$  in the generator set  $\mathcal{B}$ , its preimage  $f^{-1}(V)$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\mathcal{B}$   $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{B}$

$\Delta$  To show that a mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is measurable, it suffices to give a set  $\mathcal{B}$  which generates  $\mathcal{B}$  and such that  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ .

Proposition: Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $\text{or in } \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ ) a mapping. Then  $f$  is measurable if one of the following conditions is fulfilled

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in X : f(x) \geq a\} \in \mathcal{A}. \Leftrightarrow f^{-1}([a, \infty)) \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in X : f(x) < a\} \in \mathcal{A}. \quad \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in X : f(x) \leq a\} \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in X : a \leq f(x) \leq b\} \in \mathcal{A}. \Leftrightarrow f^{-1}(a, b) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \{x \in X : a \leq f(x) < b\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The space  $\mathbb{R}$  (resp  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ ) is equipped with the Borel/ $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  (resp  $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}}$ ). We take the measurable spaces  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $(\bar{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\mathbb{R}}})$ .

$\Delta$  let  $X$  and  $Y$  2 topological spaces and let  $\mathcal{B}_X$  and  $\mathcal{B}_Y$

the Borelian  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively.

Then every continuous function is measurable. Every measurable function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called a Borelian function.

Then  $p_j$  is measurable.  
We have  $f_j = p_j \circ f$  is measurable if  $f$  measurable (by prop 7.4).

Now we suppose that  $f_j$ ,  $j=1, \dots, n$  are measurable.

Let  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$  be a rectangle in  $\prod_{j=1}^n X_j$  then

$$f^{-1}(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n p_j^{-1}(A_j)\right) = \bigcap_{j=1}^n f^{-1}(p_j^{-1}(A_j))$$

$$= \bigcap_{j=1}^n f_j^{-1}(A_j).$$

so  $f$  is measurable.

$\Delta$ .  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  measurable space,  $f$  and  $g$  are 2 measurable functions

on  $X$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous

Then the function  $h$  is measurable.  $h := F(f, g)$

• let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{B}')$  and  $(Z, \mathcal{C})$  3 measurable spaces and

let  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  a mapping:

Then for  $\forall a \in X$  (resp  $b \in Y$ ), the partial mapping  $f_a = f(a, \cdot)$

(resp  $f_b = f(\cdot, b)$ ) is measurable.

• let  $(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1), \dots, (X_n, \mathcal{B}_n)$ ;  $n$  measurable spaces.  $f_j: X_j \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$

and  $f: \prod_{j=1}^n X_j \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1) \dots f_n(x_n)$

Assume  $f_j \neq 0$ ,  $f$  is measurable  $\Leftrightarrow f_1, \dots, f_n$  are measurable.

Proposition: Let  $(X_0, \mathcal{B}_0)$ ,  $(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$  and  $(X_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$  three measurable spaces. Let  $f_1: X_0 \rightarrow X_1$  and  $f_2: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  2 measurable mappings, then the mapping  $f_2 \circ f_1$  is measurable.

Proof:  $(f_2 \circ f_1)^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_2) = f_1^{-1}(f_2^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_2)) \subset f_1^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_1) \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ .

Proposition

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  and  $(X_j, \mathcal{B}_j)$ ,  $j=1, \dots, n$ .  $(n+1)$  measurable spaces. and let  $f: X \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_n = \prod_{j=1}^n X_j$ , a mapping  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . Then  $f$  is measurable  $\Leftrightarrow \forall j, f_j$  is measurable ( $f_j: X \rightarrow X_j$ ).

Proof: Let  $P_j: \prod_{j=1}^n X_j \rightarrow X_j$  natural projection

$$P_j^{-1}(A_j) = X_1 \times \dots \times A_j \times X_{j+1} \times \dots \times X_n.$$

which is measurable if  $A_j$  is measurable.

Prop 7.9: Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be a measurable space.

a) If  $f$  is measurable on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , then  $|f|$  is measurable.

b) If  $(f_n)$  is a sequence of measurable functions on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  with values in  $\mathbb{R}$  or in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , then the functions  $g, h, k$  defined by:  

$$g(x) = \sup_n f_n(x); \quad h(x) = \limsup_n f_n(x); \quad k(x) = \liminf_n f_n(x)$$
are measurable.

Proof:

a) If  $a < 0$ :  $\{x \in X : |f(x)| > a\} = \{x \in X : f(x) > a\} \cup \{x \in X : f(x) < -a\} \in \mathcal{B}$ .  
If  $a \geq 0$ :  $\{x \in X : |f(x)| > a\} = \{x \in X : f(x) > a\} \cup \{x \in X : f(x) < -a\} = f^{-1}((a, \infty)) \cup f^{-1}((-\infty, -a))$   
So  $|f|$  is measurable.

b).  $\{x \in X, g(x) > a\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in X, f_n(x) > a\} \in \mathcal{B}$ . So  $g$  is measurable.  
 $\cdot h(x) := \limsup_n f_n(x) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{k \geq n} f_k(x)$   
 $\{x \in X, h(x) > a\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \bigcup_{k \geq n} \{x \in X, f_k(x) > a\} \right) \in \mathcal{B}$   
 $\cdot k(x) := \liminf_n f_n(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{k \geq n} f_k(x)$   
 $\{x \in X, k(x) > a\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \bigcap_{k \geq n} \{x \in X, f_k(x) > a\} \right) \in \mathcal{B}$ .

A. If  $f$  measurable then  $f^+ = \sup(f, 0)$  and  $f^- = \inf(f, 0)$  are measurable.

- If  $(f_n)$  is a sequence of measurable functions which converges pointwise toward a function  $f$  on  $X$ , then  $f$  is measurable.

- For any sequence  $(f_n)_n$  of measurable functions with real values on a measurable space  $X$ , if  
 $C = \{x \in X, \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \text{ exists in } \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}$  is measurable.

Proof:

We put  $D = C^c$   
 $D = \{x \in X : \liminf f_n < \limsup f_n\}$

we put  $g = \liminf f_n$  and  $h = \limsup f_n$

$D = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} D_r$  where  $D_r = \{x \in X / g < r < h\}$

So  $D$  is measurable set. Then  $C$  is measurable set.

Thm 7.11: Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{(n)}$  and  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{(n)}$  a mapping. Assume that for any point  $a \in A$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V(a)$  such that  $\mu^*(f(A \cap V(a))) = 0$  then  $\mu^*(f(A)) = 0$

Proof: For  $a \in A$ ,  $\exists$  a Ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  of center of rational coordinates such that  $a \in B$  and  $\mu_n^*(f \cap B) = 0$ . The family  $\mathcal{B}$  of these balls is a least countable and covers  $A$ .

It follows that  $f(A)$  is covered by the sequence  $f(A \cap B)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and every one is of measure zero. It follows  $\mu_n^*(f(A)) = 0$ .

Thm 7.12

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  a mapping such that:  
 $\exists S > \frac{m}{n}$  and  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M^S |x-y|^S \quad \forall x, y \in A$ .

Then

① If  $S > \frac{m}{n}$  then  $\mu_n^*(f(A)) = 0$ .

② If  $S = \frac{m}{n}$  then  $\mu_n^*(f(A)) \leq 2^n (M\sqrt{n})^m \mu_m^*(A)$ .

Proof: Let  $(P_k)$  be a covering of  $A$  by rectangles of length of its sides  $\leq \varepsilon$ . ( $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ )

We assume that for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P_k \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a, b \in A \cap P_k$  and  $r < \varepsilon$ .

We have  $\|x-b\|_\infty \leq r/2$ ,  $\|a-b\|_\infty \leq r/2$ ,  $\|x-a\|_\infty \leq r$ ,  $\forall x \in P_k$  via a rectangle centered at  $a$  and of radius  $r$ .

From us  $\|f(x) - f(a)\|_\infty \leq (M\sqrt{n})^S r^S$  and  $\mu_n^*(f(A \cap P_k)) \leq 2^n (M\sqrt{n})^m \frac{\varepsilon^{m-n}}{r^{n-m}}$ .

① If  $S > \frac{m}{n}$ , then  $\mu_n^*(f(A)) \leq 2^n (M\sqrt{n})^m \varepsilon^{m-n} \sum_k \text{vol}(P_k)$  Vol: volume

② If  $S = \frac{m}{n}$ ; then  $m-n=0$  so  $\mu_n^*(f(A)) \leq 2^n (M\sqrt{n})^m \mu_m^*(A)$ .

(Now:  $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_j |x_j|$ )

(we have:  $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_\infty \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ )

and  $A \cap P_k \subset P_k(a, r)$  then  $f(A \cap P_k) \subset P_k(f(a), 2(M\sqrt{n})^S r)$

▲ ① Every null set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is of measure zero in any system of coordinate in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , because

If  $f$  is a linear mapping from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , we have  $\|f(x)\| \leq M \|x\|$ .

If  $N$  is a null set,  $\mu_n^*(f(N)) \leq 2^n (M\sqrt{n})^m \mu_m^*(N)$ .

② Every subspace of dimension  $m < n$  is a null set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  because:  $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  linear.

As  $n > m$ ,  $\mu_n^*(f(\mathbb{R}^m)) = 0$  by ① of them.

More generally:  $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is of class  $C^1$

in any point  $a \in A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then

If  $n > m$ , we deduce  $\mu_n^*(f(a)) \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq (1 + \|Df(a)\|) \|x-y\|$

### Thm (Egoroff)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Assume that the measure  $\mu$  is bounded. Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of real or complex measurable functions on  $X$  which converges pointwise on  $X$  to a function  $f$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists A_\epsilon \in \mathcal{B}$  s.t.  $\mu(A_\epsilon) < \epsilon$  and the restriction of the sequence  $(f_n)$  on  $A_\epsilon^c$  is uniformly convergent.

Proof:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ ,  $f$  is measurable function.  
 For  $\epsilon, n$ ,  $E_n^{(k)} = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \{x / |f_p - f| \leq \frac{1}{2^k}\}$  is measurable  
 $\mu$  bounded  $\overset{\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\text{lim}}}{} E_n^{(k)} = X$   
 $\Rightarrow \overset{\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\text{lim}}}{} \mu((E_n^{(k)})^c) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists n_{k,\epsilon} /$   
 $\mu((E_{n_{k,\epsilon}}^{(k)})^c) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} \quad \forall k$   
 $A_\epsilon = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E_{n_{k,\epsilon}}^{(k)})^c. \quad \mu(A_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon \quad \forall \epsilon$

### Thm (Continuity of measure):

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ . If  $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  then  $\mu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$

Proof: 1) If for some  $n_0$ ,  $\mu(A_{n_0}) = +\infty$  then  $\mu(A_n) = +\infty \forall n_0$  and  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = +\infty$ .  
 2) let now  $\mu(A_i) < \infty, \forall i \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= \mu(A_1 \sqcup (A_2 \setminus A_1) \sqcup (A_3 \setminus A_2) \sqcup \dots) \\ &= \mu(A_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu(A_k \setminus A_{k-1}). \\ &= \mu(A_1) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\mu(A_k) - \mu(A_{k-1})]. \\ &= \mu(A_1) + \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim} \sum_{k=2}^n [\mu(A_k) - \mu(A_{k-1})] \\ &= \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A_n) - \mu(A_1) \end{aligned}$$

Def  $\{x_n\}_n$  is a sequence of numbers, we define

$$\liminf_n x_n := \sup_n \left( \inf_{k \geq n} x_k \right) = \sup_n \min \{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\}$$

$$\limsup_n x_n := \inf_n \left( \sup_{k \geq n} x_k \right).$$

Ex ① prove that:  $\max \{x, y\} = \frac{1}{2}(x+y+|x-y|)$   
 for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\min \{x, y\} = \frac{1}{2}(x+y-|x-y|)$

② If  $f$  is measurable.

Prove that  $f^+ = \max \{f, 0\}$  and  $f^- = \min \{f, 0\}$   
 are measurable.

Hint:  $f^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|f| + f)$ ;  $|f| = f^+ + f^-$   
 $f^- = \frac{1}{2}(|f| - f)$ ;  $f = f^+ - f^-$

---