

example: ① let g is a borelian bounded on \mathbb{R}^+ .

$f(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tn} g(n) dn$ is defined and infinitely differentiable on $[0, \infty)$

Precisely $f(t, n) = e^{-tn} g(n) \chi_{[0, \infty)}(n)$

$$\frac{d^n}{dt^n} f(t, n) = (-n)^n e^{-tn} g(n) \chi_{[0, \infty)}(n)$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \left| \frac{d^n}{dt^n} f(t, n) \right| \leq g_n(n) \quad \forall t \in I = [0, \infty)$$

$g_n(n) = \alpha_n e^{-an} \chi_{[0, \infty)}(n)$ are integrable on \mathbb{R} by Lebesgue measure.

We prove by induction on n :

h is n -derivable

$$h^{(n)}(t) = \int_0^\infty (-z)^n e^{-tn} g(z) dz.$$

4 - Comparison of Riemann and Lebesgue Integrals

4.1 Riemann and Lebesgue Integrals

Let a and b 2 real numbers, $a < b$. We consider the measure space $([a, b], \mathcal{B}', \lambda)$ where λ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} and \mathcal{B}' is the Lebesgue σ -algebra of $[a, b]$. For a bounded function f on $[a, b]$, we denote $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ the Riemann integral of f on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^b f(x) d\lambda(x)$ the Lebesgue integral, if they exist.

- Let f be a bounded function on $[a, b]$. Then from the definition of the Riemann integral and the properties of the lower and upper sum of f , there exists an increasing sequence of partitions $(\xi_n)_n$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $\xi_n = \{x_0 = a, \dots, x_n = b\}$, the sequence $(\delta_n)_n$ defined by $\delta_n = \sup_{c \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]} |x_{k+1} - x_k|$ converge to 0. (δ_n is called the norm of the partition). We denote:

$$(U) \quad \int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(\xi_n, f)$$

$$(L) \quad \int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(\xi_n, f)$$

Let $(g_n)_n$ and $(h_n)_n$ the sequences of simple functions defined by:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} g_n(x) &= \inf_{[x_k, x_{k+1}]} f(t) \quad \text{if } x_k \leq x < x_{k+1} \quad \text{and } g_n(b) = f(b) \\ h_n(x) &= \sup_{[x_k, x_{k+1}]} f(t) \quad \text{if } x_k \leq x < x_{k+1} \quad \text{and } h_n(b) = f(b) \end{aligned}}$$

$\cdot (g_n) \uparrow$ and $(h_n) \downarrow$ on $[a, b]$.

For $x \in [a, b]$, $g_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} g$ and $h_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} h$

We remark that

$$\text{(Upper sum)} \quad S(\delta_n, f) = \int_a^b h_n(x) dx = \int_a^b h_n(x) d\lambda(x)$$

$$\text{(Lower sum)} \quad S(\delta_n, f) = \int_a^b g_n(x) dx = \int_a^b g_n(x) d\lambda(x)$$

Since g and h are measurable. It follows from the Monotone Lebesgue theorem

$$\text{(I)} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b g_n(x) dx = \int_a^b g(x) dx = \int_a^b g(x) d\lambda(x) \quad \text{(Lower sum)}$$

$$\text{(II)} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b h_n(x) dx = \int_a^b h(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) d\lambda(x) \quad \text{(Upper sum)}$$

in other hand we have $g(x) \leq f(x) \leq h(x) \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$

Then let f be a bounded function on $[a, b]$, then f is Lebesgue integrable

a) If f is Riemann-integrable on $[a, b]$, then f is Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^b f(x) d\lambda(x) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$.

b) f is Riemann-integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if, the set of discontinuity of f is a null set.

c) If the set of discontinuity of f is a null set, then f is Lebesgue integrable and $\int_a^b f(x) d\lambda(x) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$.

Proof: a) If f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$, we have:

$$(L) \quad \int_a^b f(x) dx = (U) \int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

From (I) and (II), we shall have $\int_a^b g(x) d\lambda(x) = \int_a^b h(x) d\lambda(x)$

Thus $\int_a^b [h(x) - g(x)] d\lambda(x) = 0 \Rightarrow (h-g)$ is a non-negative integrable function and then $h=g$ a.e on $[a, b]$. Thus f is measurable

then $h=g$ a.e and then $f=g$ a.e on $[a, b]$.

and $\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) d\lambda(x)$.

b) f is Riemann-integrable $\Leftrightarrow (L) : \int_a^b f(x) dx = (U) : \int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx \Rightarrow h=g$ a.e

Lemma: Let f, g and h as above. For $x \in [a, b] \setminus (\bigcup_n \delta_n)$; $g(x) = h(x) \Leftrightarrow f$ is

continuous in the point x .

We deduce from the above lemma; the fact:

f is Riemann-integrable $\Leftrightarrow h=g$ a.e which is equivalent to $\{x | f(x) \neq g(x)\} \cup (\bigcup_n \delta_n)$ is a null set for the Lebesgue measure λ and this is equivalent that f is continuous a.e on $[a, b]$.

c) If the set of discontinuity of f is a null set. Then $\lim_n g_n = \frac{1}{n} h_n = f$ at each point of continuity of f , then f is measurable and by Dominated

Convergence theorem yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b g_n(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx. \text{ Thus } f \text{ is Riemann integrable}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b h_n(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

and $\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) d\mu(x).$

Prop Let $f : [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function.

f is Riemann integrable \Leftrightarrow f is continuous a.e on $[a,b]$

proof: a) Suppose f is Riemann integrable

$$\text{For } x \in [a,b], \text{ set } g(x) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf_{\substack{y \in I(x, \delta) \\ y \in [a,b]}} f(y); R(x) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{\substack{y \in I(x, \delta) \\ y \in [a,b]}} f(y)$$

$$\text{So } f \text{ is continuous at } x \iff g(x) = R(x).$$

We have $g \leq f \leq R$ because both g and R are Riemann integrable.

because f is Riemann integrable then both g and R are Riemann integrable.

$$\int g(x) d\mu(x) = \int h(x) d\mu(x) = \int f(x) d\mu(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow g = R \text{ a.e. So } f \text{ is continuous a.e on } [a,b].$$

(b) Now we suppose f is continuous a.e on $[a,b]$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let δ_n be the partition of $[a,b]$ into 2^n equal intervals.

$$\text{Set } h_n(x) = \sup_{y \in (x, x+1)} f(y); g_n = \inf_{(x, x+1)} f(y)$$

if $(x, x+1)$ is open interval of δ_n containing x , we say $h_n(x) = g_n(x) = f(x)$

if x is one of the points of the div δ_n .

Then (g_n) ↑ and (h_n) ↓ and each function on each of finite family of intervals covering $[a,b]$ and

$$s(f, \delta_n) = \int g_n(x) d\mu(x)$$

$$S(f, \delta_n) = \int h_n(x) d\mu(x)$$

Next, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x) = f(x)$ at any point x at which f is continuous.

$$\text{So } f = \lim_n g_n = \lim_n h_n \text{ a.e.}$$

By Lebesgue's Dominated Cvg, $\lim_n \int g_n d\mu = \int f d\mu = \lim_n \int h_n d\mu$

But It means $S(f) \leq \int f d\mu \leq s(f)$. So these are all equal and f is

Riemann integrable.

4.2 Generalized Integral and Lebesgue Integral:

Let (a,b) be an open interval of \mathbb{R} and let f be a locally Riemann-integrable

function on (a, b) (ie f is Riemann-integrable on $[c, d]$ for all $c, d \in (a, b)$). We say that the generalized Riemann integral of f exists (no fixed in (a, b)). This limit when it exists does not depend on α, β and is denoted by $\int_a^b f(x) dx$.

proposition: Let f be a locally Riemann-integrable function defined on (a, b) . Then f is Lebesgue integrable on (a, b) if and only if the improper integral $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ is absolutely convergent and in this case the Riemann integral and the Lebesgue integral coincide. (ie $\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b |f(x)| dx$).

proof: we consider 2 sequences $(a_n)_n$ and $(b_n)_n$ of (a, b) such that $(a_n) \downarrow a$ and $(b_n) \uparrow b$. let $\varphi_n(x) = |f(x)| \chi_{[a_n, b_n]}$

- $(\varphi_n) \uparrow$, $\varphi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} |f| \chi_{[a, b]}$; φ_n are measurable then f is measurable.

It follows from Monotone Convergence theorem that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R \varphi_n(x) dx = \int_a^b |f(x)| dx \quad (*)$$

Moreover f is Lebesgue integrable. To show that the two integrals coincide we set:

$g_n = f \chi_{[a_n, b_n]}$. Then $g_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f \chi_{[a, b]}$. The functions g_n are integrable and $|g_n| \leq |f| \chi_{[a, b]}$. It follows by the Dominated Convergence theorem that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b g_n(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

From $(*)$, we get $\int_a^b |f(x)| dx = \int_a^b |f(x)| dx$.

Conversely; If f is Lebesgue-integrable on (a, b) , then $|f|$ is Lebesgue integrable on (a, b) .

put $f_n = |f| \chi_{[a_n, b_n]}$. This sequence fulfill the hypotheses of the monotone convergence theorem then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx = \int_a^b |f(x)| dx$. Moreover $\int_a^b f_n(x) dx = \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |f(x)| dx$ which follows from the previous theorem. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |f(x)| dx \text{ exists in } R. \text{ Then } \int_a^b |f(x)| dx < \infty.$$

5 - Fubini's Theorem

5.1 Product Measure Spaces:

Let $(X_1, \mathcal{A}_1, \mu_1)$ and $(X_2, \mathcal{A}_2, \mu_2)$ 2 measure spaces. We intend to construct the product measure on a suitable σ -algebra contained in the power set of the Cartesian product $X = X_1 \times X_2$. By a rectangular set R in X , we mean any set of the form $R = A \times B$ where $A \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}_2$. We will take as the family of elementary sets for the product measure $\mathcal{E} = \{ E = \bigcup_{j=1}^n R_j ; R_j = A_j \times B_j ; A_j \in \mathcal{A}_1, B_j \in \mathcal{A}_2 \} \quad (*)$

where R_j are disjoint rectangles and n is an arbitrary natural number. \mathcal{E} is an algebra.

Def We define the product measure $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 (E) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_1(A_j) \mu_2(B_j)$ for each elementary set $E \in \mathcal{E}$ as defined by (*).

Δ This definition requires justification because the decomposition given in equation(s) is not unique:

$$E = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (A_j \times B_j) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (C_k \times D_k)$$

It follows from the finite additivity of each of the measures μ_1 and μ_2 that:

$$\mu_1(A_j) \mu_2(B_j) = \sum_{l=1}^m \mu_1(A_j \cap C_l) \mu_2(B_j \cap D_l)$$

and

$$\mu_1(C_k) \mu_2(D_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_1(A_j \cap C_k) \mu_2(B_j \cap D_k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_1(C_k) \mu_2(D_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_1(A_j) \mu_2(B_j).$$

Lemma 5.2: Suppose $A \times B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (A_j \times B_j)$ where $A, A_j \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $B, B_j \in \mathcal{A}_2$ and the $(A_j \times B_j)$ are disjoint. Then $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 (A \times B) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 (A_j \times B_j)$.

Proof: We have: $\chi_A(x) \chi_B(y) = \chi_{A \times B}(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_j}(x) \chi_{B_j}(y)$

By the Monotone Convergence Theorem

$$\chi_A(x) \mu_2(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_j}(x) \mu_2(B_j),$$

and also by the Monotone Convergence Thm:

$$\mu_1(x) \mu_2(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(A_j) \mu_2(B_j) =$$